# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2013-59959 Issue No.: 2009 Case No.: Hearing Date: October 28 2013 County: Oakland

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, MCL 400.37, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, and 45 CFR 205.10, upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was conducted in Walled Lake, Michigan on October 28, 2013. Claimant was represented by Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR), Claimant did not appear at the hearing.

### ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine that Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) benefit program?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking MA-P on February 22, 2013.
- 2. The Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that Claimant was not disabled.
- 3. The Department notified Claimant of the MRT determination on May 6, 2013.
- 4. On July 16, 2013, the Department received Claimant's timely written request for hearing.

2013-59959/SCB

- 5. On September 13, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team determined that Claimant was not disabled.
- 6. At the hearing, Claimant's AHR testified that she did not know if Claimant was working.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

Federal regulations require that the Department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a)

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), statutory listings of medical impairments, residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. (SGA) 20 CFR 416.920(b)

In this case, Claimant did not appear at the hearing, and thus did not testify as to whether she was working. Claimant's AHR testified that she did not know if Claimant was working. Without proof that Claimant is not working, it cannot be concluded that

2013-59959/SCB

Claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity. (SGA) 20 CFR 416.920(b) Therefore, Claimant is disqualified for MA at this step in the sequential evaluation process.

Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant is not disabled for purposes of the MA program.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds Claimant not disabled for purposes of the MA-P benefit program.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 31, 2013

Date Mailed: October 31, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

#### 2013-59959/SCB

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### SCB/tm

