#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013-59380 3015

August 21, 2013 Emmet

### **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Carmen G. Fahie

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, August 21, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Mary Ann Kelly, FIS.

## ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application  $\square$  close Claimant's case  $\bowtie$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant  $\square$  applied for benefits for:  $\square$  received benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP). Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Medical Assistance (MA). Child Development and Care (CDC).

2. On July 9, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application  $\Box$  closed Claimant's case  $\Box$  reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.

- 3. On July 9, 2013, the Department sent 🖂 Claimant 🗌 Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the 🗌 denial 🗌 closure 🖂 reduction.
- 4. On July 15, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application ☐ closure of the case ⊠ reduction of benefits.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

🗌 The	Adult	Medical	Program	(AMP)	is	established	by	42	USC	1315,	and	is
administ	ered by	/ the Depa	artment pu	irsuant t	o N	1CL 400.10, e	et se	eq.				

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social
Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).
The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the
MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the Claimant had household earned income of and unearned income of for Social Security SSI and State Supplement Income. Department Exhibit 10-11.

As a result of excess income, the Claimant had a decrease in FAP benefits from the to the After deductions from her gross income of the of the earned income deduction, and the standard deduction, for an adjusted gross income of the Claimant was given a total shelter deduction of the Claimant was given a heat and utility standard of the Claimant was given an adjusted excess shelter deduction of the Claimant was given an adjusted excess shelter deduction of the Claimant had a net income of the minus the adjusted gross income of the Claimant had a net income of the excess shelter deduction of the Claimant had a net income of the excess shelter deduction of the exce

The Department has met its burden that the Claimant had excess income for FAP resulting in a decrease in FAP benefits from **100**8 to **100**8.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department

denied Claimant's application

 $\boxtimes$  reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  **AFFIRMED**  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

/s/\_\_\_\_

**Carmen G. Fahie** Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 08/27/2013

Date Mailed: 08/27/2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision;
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

