## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

	 	TTF		<b>`</b>
10	 - 11/1/		-	-

Reg. No.: 201359346 Issue No.: 2006; 3008

Case No.:

County:

Hearing Date: August 19, 2013 Wayne (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.3 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, selephone hearing was held on August 19, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants of behalf of Claimant included And Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included.						
ISSUE						
Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claiman for:	t's application 🛛 close Claimant's case					
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received	l benefits for:					
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☑ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).					

2.	On July 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to a failure to return a redetermination packet.
3.	On June 12, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On July 19, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, the undersigned finds the claimant's testimony that she returned the documents in question credible. Claimant alleged on her hearing summary that she had filled out every form received, claimant was unaware that anything was wrong with her case until her case had been closed, and claimant testified that she had returned appropriate documents shortly after receiving the request. Claimant described having the documents date stamped. Additionally, the undersigned does not find it incredible that a submitted document turned in at the front desk of a district office would fail to be returned to the appropriate caseworker.
Furthermore, the Department offered no proof that a DHS-38 form was sent to the claimant, despite the form being listed on the verification checklist issued on May 30, 2013.
Therefore, as the undersigned finds claimant's testimony credible, the Administrative Law Judge holds that claimant did return the document in question, and thus, the redetermination should be reprocessed.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  did act properly.  did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate reprocessing of claimant's April, 2013 MA redetermination; the Department may request any required documents needed to process the redetermination.

2. Reopen claimant's MA and FAP case retroactive to the date of case closure.

> Robert J. Chavez Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/27/2013

Date Mailed: 8/27/2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be received in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/hw

## 2013-59346/RJC

