STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-59327

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 19, 2013
County: Wayne (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 19, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's case for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. In May 2013, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination that was to be completed and returned to the Department by June 3, 2013.
- 3. A redetermination telephone interview was scheduled for June 3, 2013. (Exhibit 1)
- 4. On June 3, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Missed Interview informing him that he had until June 30, 2013 to reschedule the interview and complete the redetermination or his FAP benefits would be denied. (Exhibit 2)

- 5. The Department closed Claimant's FAP case effective July 1, 2013 for failure to return a completed redetermination.
- 6. On July 19, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, Rule 400.3001-3015.

Additionally, the Department must periodically redetermine an individual's eligibility for active programs. The redetermination process includes a thorough review of all eligibility factors. BAM 210 (July 2013), p 1. A client must complete a redetermination at least every 12 months in order for the Department to determine the client's continued eligibility for benefits. BAM 210, p. 1. A FAP client must also complete a phone interview. If the client misses the interview, Bridges sends a DHS-254, Notice of Missed Interview. BAM 210, p 3. Before the Department proceeds with the FAP interview, it must receive the completed redetermination packet from the client. BAM 210, p 9. FAP benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210, p 2. If the redetermination packet is not logged in by the last working day of the redetermination month, Bridges will automatically close the FAP case without sending a Notice of Case Action. BAM 210, p.9.

In this case, the Department testified that because it did not receive a completed redetermination form from Claimant by the June 30, 2013 due date, it was not able to certify a new FAP benefit period and Claimant's FAP case automatically closed. Claimant credibly testified that although he did not return the completed redetermination form by the original due date of June 3, 2013, after receiving the Notice of Missed Interview, he submitted the redetermination form along with the additional verifications to the Department on June 20, 2013, prior to end of the certification period on June 30, 2013. The Department confirmed that it received the additional verifications that usually accompany a redetermination but that the actual DHS 1010 redetermination form was not received.

Under the facts in this case, it is found and determined that Claimant did submit a completed redetermination form, with the additional verifications by the due date. As such, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case effective July 1, 2013 for failure to complete a redetermination.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case. Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP case effective July 1, 2013;
- 2. Begin reprocessing Claimant's FAP redetermination in accordance with Department policy;
- 3. Begin issuing supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits that he was entitled to receive but did not from July 1, 2013 ongoing in accordance with Department policy; and
- 4. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing in accordance with Department policy;

Zainab Baydour

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 27, 2013

Date Mailed: August 27, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

 Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;

2013-59327/ZB

- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

