STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
2013-58699

Issue No.:
5022

Case No.:
Image: County and the second second

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 16, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with utility/energy services?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On or about June 22, 2013, Claimant applied for SER assistance with utility/energy services.
- 2. On July 2, 2013, the Department sent Claimant the SER Decision Notice denying the application based on a failure to verify requested information.
- 3. On July 12, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the SER decision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049. Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, clients must be informed of all verifications that are required and where to return verifications. The Department will send a SER Verification Checklist to request verifications and to notify the client of the due date for returning the verifications. The due date is eight calendar days beginning with the date of application. If the application is not processed on the application date, the deadline to return verification is eight calendar days from the date verification is requested. This does not change the standard of promptness date. ERM 103 (March 2013), pp.6-7.

The client must make a reasonable effort to obtain required verifications. The specialist must assist if the applicant needs and requests help. If neither the client nor the specialist can obtain the verifications despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If no evidence is available, the specialist must use their best judgment. ERM 103 (March 2013), pp.6-7.

In this case, Claimant testified that she submitted applications for assistance several times and has had many issues with the Department properly processing them. With respect to the June 22, 2013 application at issue in this case, the Department testified that Claimant's SER application was denied on the basis that she failed to verify or allow the Department to verify information necessary to determine eligibility for SER. The Department presented the SER Decision Notice that was sent to Claimant informing her of the denial on July 2, 2013. (Exhibit 1).

At the hearing, the Department testified that Claimant's application was denied in error and that the Department reregistered and reprocessed the application after receiving the correct verifications. The Department stated that it sent Claimant a new SER Decision Notice on August 30, 2013. (Exhibit 2). Although the Department presented the August 30, 2013 SER Decision Notice at the hearing, it remained unclear if this Notice was in connection with a new application or if this Notice was sent as a result of the Department reprocessing the June 2013 application as the services and total amount requested was inconsistent with the information included in the first denial notice sent on July 2, 2013. Therefore, the Department has not established that it did reregister and reprocess Claimant's SER application after acknowledging that it was denied in error.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's June 22, 2013 SER application based on a failure to verify.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's SER decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 - 1. Register and process Claimant's June 22, 2013 application for SER;
 - 2. Determine Claimant's eligibility for SER assistance as of the application date; and
 - 3. Issue a new SER Decision Notice informing Claimant of the Department's decision.

Lamab Raydown

Zainab Baydoun Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 21, 2013

Date Mailed: October 21, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

2013-58699/ZB

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

CC	