# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-58567 Issue No.: 3008; 5003

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 20, 2013
County: Washtenaw # 20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, August 20, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Selena Jackson, ES, and Calvin Mitchell, FIM.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly $igtii$ deny Claim for:	nant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☑ State Emergency Relief (SER)?</li></ul>	
FINDINGS	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
<ol> <li>Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receive</li> </ol>	ved benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li><li>☐ State Emergency Relief (SER).</li></ul>	

2.	Claimant's case due to the Claimant's house not being in foreclosure and the Claimant not being in jeopardy of losing home.
3.	On July 12, 2013, the Department sent $\square$ Claimant $\square$ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the $\square$ denial $\square$ closure.
4.	On July 19, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application $\square$ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence pency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.
	The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The ER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, R

400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, this Administrative Law Judge find the Department has not met its burden to show that the Department followed policy in denying the Claimant's application. A copy of the application was not submitted and the date the application was received by the Department was not documented in the Hearing Summary. A copy of SER budget was not submitted. There was also no Verification Checklist or Notice of Case Action. Even though there was a FAP Income Test, there were no verifications of the written income used to determine eligiblity. As a result, the Department actions are reversed and the Department is ordered to redetermine eligibility for FAP and SER based on the Claimant's application.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\boxtimes$ SER decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ <b>REVERSED</b> for the reasons stated on the record.		
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:		
<ol> <li>Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FAP and SER based on application submitted.</li> </ol>		

- 2. Provide the Claimant and her Authorized Representative with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

/s/\_\_\_\_

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>08/27/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>08/27/2013</u>

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CGF/pw

