STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 15, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's June 11 or 12, 2013, Food Assistance Program (FAP) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 11 or 12, 2013, Claimant applied for FAP.
- 2. On June 20, 2103, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL).
- 3. On July 11, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action denying her application because she had failed to provide verification of identify for herself and her husband and because her husband was not an eligible student.
- 4. On July 15, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Department denied Claimant's FAP application because Claimant had failed to provide verification of her and her husband's identification and because her husband was an ineligible student.

At the hearing, the Department testified that it sent Claimant a VCL on June 20, 2011, requesting, among other things, verification of Claimant's and her husband's identities and of Claimant's husband's student status but received only verification of Claimant's identity. However, the Department failed to provide a copy of the VCL as evidence, and Claimant credibly testified that the VCL did not request verification of her husband's identify or of his student status. The Department has the responsibility of informing the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130 (May 2012). In this case, because the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it requested verification of Claimant's husband's identity and student status, it did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FAP application based on Claimant's failure to verify.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FAP application.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reregister Claimant's June 11 or 12, 2013, FAP application;
- 2. Begin reprocessing Claimant's FAP application in accordance with Department policy, requesting any verifications necessary to process the application;

2013-58119/ACE

- 3. Issue supplements to Claimant for FAP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from the date of application, ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 22, 2013

Date Mailed: August 22, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/pf

2013-58119/ACE

