#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg.	No:
Issue	No <sup>.</sup>

2013-57821 3015

Hearing Date: August 13, 2013 Oscoda County DHS

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 13, 2013 fr om Lansing, Michigan. Participant s on behalf of Claim ant includ ed **Example 1** Part icipants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Example 1** 

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact, based on the compet ent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record:

- 1. As of June 25, 2013, the Claimant received FAP benefits.
- 2. On June 25, 2013, the Department s ent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated the Claimant's FAP benefits were being reduced effective July 1, 2013.
- 3. On July 8, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing in dispute of the FAP reduction.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of t he Code of Federal Regulations (CF R). The Department

(formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

In this case, the Department failed to provi de testimony or exhibits to show how the Department calculated the Cla imant's FAP allotment. S pecifically, the Department failed to address what self-employment expenses were excluded and why. Therefore, I was unable to determine whet her the Department acted in accordan ce with the applicable laws and policies in determining the Claimant's FAP allotment.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I conclude the Depa rtment improperly determined the Claimant's FAP allotment.

# DECISION AND ORDER

I find based upon the above F indings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Dep artment's FAP decis ion is **REVERSED** for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Cla imant's eligibility for FAP benefits beginning July 1, 2013 and iss use retroactive benefits if otherwise qualified and eligible.

fact

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 15, 2013

Date Mailed: August 15, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPE AL:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final dec ision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the De cision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Dec ision a nd Order or, if a tim ely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322



