

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 2013-57617  
Issue No: 3015  
Hearing Date: August 14, 2013  
DHS-SSPC-WEST

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** COREY A. ARENDT

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 14, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Larry Wells Jr. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny the Claimant's Family Assistance Program (FAP) application due to excess income?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

I find as material fact, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record:

1. On June 13, 2013, the Claimant applied for FAP benefits.
2. On June 28, 2013, the Department denied the Claimants FAP application due to excess income.
3. On July 12, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1). The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The FAP (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

A non-categorically eligible, non-Senior/Disabled/Veteran (SDA) FAP group must have income below the gross and net income limits. BEM 550. If the gross income for non-senior/disabled/disabled veteran groups who are not categorically eligible exceeds the gross income maximum in RFT 250 (before deductions) the group is ineligible. The income limit for the Claimant's group size according to RFT 250 is \$1,211. The Claimant testified his monthly gross income exceeds the income limit for the program.

As such, the Department's denial of the Claimant's FAP application must be upheld.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law that the Department acted in accordance with policy in denying the Claimant's FAP application.

The Department's actions are **AFFIRMED**.



---

Corey A. Arendt  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 15, 2013

Date Mailed: August 15, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

cc:

