#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2013 56589 3014

August 5, 2013 Wayne (57)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on August 5, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Exercise**, Assistance Payments Supervisor, and Case Manager.

#### ISSUE

Did the Department properly  $\boxtimes$  deny the Claimant's application  $\square$  close Claimant's case  $\square$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

$\boxtimes$

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance	(AMP)?
	• •

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant	$\boxtimes$ applied for benefits for:	received benefits for:
----	----------	---------------------------------------	------------------------



Family Independence Program (FIP).

 $\boxtimes$  Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On May 17, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application
   Closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits
   due to Claimant advising the Department that she wished to withdraw her application. At the time of the application the Claimant was living with her mother and was 21 years of age
- On May 21, 2013, the Department sent
   Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the □ denial. □ closure. □ reduction.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, the Claimant credibly testified that she advised her worker at the time of the application, that she was living with her mother and was 21 years of age. At the time of the application the Claimant was 21 years of age. BEM 212 addresses requirements regarding FAP group members and requires that parents and children under 22 years of age who live together must be in the same group regardless of whether the child(ren) have their own spouse or child who lives with the group. Thus the Department correctly denied the Claimant's FAP application even though the reason stated in the notice of case action stated that the Claimant requested the Department withdraw the application. This reason is deemed to be harmless error as the facts elicited at the hearing clearly indicated that the Claimant was 21 and living with her notice stating the wrong reason was an error and does not change the outcome of the case. Therefore, the Department's denial of the FAP case is determined to be correct. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 212(2011), pp.1.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department

☐ denied Claimant's application
☐ reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

 Lynn M. Ferris
 Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director
 Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 13, 2013

Date Mailed: August 13, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

#### 2013-56589/LMF

• Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### LMF/cl

