STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	THE	ΜΔΤ	TFR	OF:



Reg. No.: 2013 56586 Issue No.: 1038, 3029

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 5, 2013
County: Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 5, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Judge Judge

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? 	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On July 31, 2013, the Department:		
	 ☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits FIP Cash Assistance ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits FAP by removing Claimant from her group 		
	under the following program(s):		
2.	On July 9, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:		
	☐ denial ☐ closure ☐ reduction.		
3.	On July 27, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).			
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal onsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ve October 1, 1996.		
progra impler Regul Agend	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 2001 through Rule 400.3015.		
Tho Is	aw provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or		

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do

the following: at the hearing the Department agreed to reinstate the Claimant's FIP cash assistance case retroactive to date of closure (7/31/13) and to restore the Claimant to her FAP group as of the date of removal due to noncompliance with work-related activities, The Department further indicated that it would remove the second sanction it imposed on the Claimant for noncompliance from the Department's records. The Department further agreed to issue a FIP and FAP supplement to the Claimant if any the Claimant is otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall initiate reinstatement of the Claimant's FIP case and return Claimant to her FAP group retroactive to the date of closure on July 31, 2013.
- 2. The Department shall issue a supplement to the Claimant for FIP and FAP benefits if any the Claimant's is eligible to receive in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall initiate removal of the second sanction it incorrectly imposed on the Claimant for noncompliance with work-related activities from the Department's case records for the Claimant.

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 13, 2013

Date Mailed: August 13, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

2013-56586/LMF

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/cl

