## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р	)			•
	IV			п	_	- 11	117	•					_	•	_

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-56249 3008 August 1, 2013 SSPC-East (97-98)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael	J. Bennane			
HEARING	DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Admir and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's red telephone hearing was held on August 1, 20 behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Pa Human Services (Department) included	quest for a hearing. 013, from Detroit, Michi	After due notice, a gan. Participants on		
<u>ISS</u>	<u>SUE</u>			
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP)?  State Disability Assistance (SDA)?  Child Development and Care (CDC)  Medical Assistance (MA)?				
<u>FINDINGS</u>	OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upo evidence on the whole record, including test				
<ol> <li>Claimant</li></ol>	I: □FIP ⊠FAP □MA	□SDA □CDC.		
2. Claimant was required to submit request	ed verification by May 6	5, 2013.		
<ul><li>3. On June 1, 2013, the Department</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li></ul>				

## 2013-56249/MJB

reduced Claimant's benefits .
<ul> <li>4. On May 10, 2013, the Department sent notice of the  ☐ denial of Claimant's application.  ☐ closure of Claimant's case.  ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>5. On july 3, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ closure of Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015
Additionally, although Claimant testified that he did not receive the verification checklist (VCL), the VCL entered into the record as evidence has Claimant's correct address; therefore, the legal presumption is that it was correctly delivered
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 6, 2013

Date Mailed: August 6, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

## 2013-56249/MJB

