STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013 56032 3012.2011.1005

July 31, 2013 Oakland (04)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 31, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included FIM, and . Jet Case Manager.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:

| \langle | Family | Independer |
|-----------|--------|------------|
| | | |

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant \boxtimes applied for \square was receiving: \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by May 3, 2013.

2013-56032/LMF

- 3. On May 16, 2013, the Department
 ☆ denied Claimant's application.
 ☐ closed Claimant's case.
 ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits .
- 4. On May 13, 2013, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application.

 ☐ closure of Claimant's case.

 ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits
- 5. At the hearing the Department confirmed and the Claimant acknowledged on the record that the Department's inadvertent closure of the Claimant's medical assistance did not result in any loss of Medical Assistance and thus no issue remained to be resolved.
- 6. At the hearing the Department confirmed and the Claimant acknowledged on the record that the Claimant received her full FAP benefits she was entitled to receive even though Claimant's FAP benefits were interrupted for a period. In addition, the Claimant signed a withdrawal on June 24, 2013 withdrawing her request for hearing regarding Food Assistance stating she was reapplying.
- 7. On June 24, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
 - \boxtimes denial of Claimant's application.
 - closure of Claimant's case.
 - reduction of Claimant's benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

Additionally, the issue at the hearing involved whether the Department received verification of school attendance by Claimant's children requested by verification checklist dated April 23, 2013. Exhibit 2. The Claimant testified that she faxed the information to the Department. The Department at the hearing reviewed the contents of its file and found that the case file did not contain the verifications. The Claimant did not present any verification that she had faxed the information by way of a fax confirmation and thus, based upon the evidence presented, it is determined that the

Department correctly denied the Claimant's FIP application for failure to provide verification. BAM 130 (5/1/12).

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

] closed Claimant's case.

 \boxtimes denied Claimant's application.

] reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

The Claimant's request for hearing dated June 24, 2013 regarding Food Assistance and Medical Assistance is hereby DISMISSED, for the reason that no issues remain to be resolved with respect to these benefits.

Lvnn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 14, 2013

Date Mailed: August 14, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the
 outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
 of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

