STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg No. 2013-55955 Issue No. 2009; 4031 Case No. Hearing Date: August 22, 2013 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Clai mant's request for a hear ing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 22, 2013. Claimant, his mother and sister, personally appeared and testif ied. Particip ants on behalf of the department included Assistance Payment Supervisor and Eligibility Specialist

ISSUE

Did the department properly deny Claimant's Medicaid (MA), Retro-MA and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application based on a finding he lacks a legally disabling condition?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, bas ed upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On January 29, 2013, Claimant applied for MA/Retro-MA and SDA based on disability.
- 2. When the department denied that application, Claimant requested a hearing by written notice dated June 27, 2013.
- 3. On August 5, 2013, the Stat e Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied Claimant's MA and SDA application bas ed on Voc ational Rule 201.15.
- 4. Claimant's hearing was held on August 22, 2013.

5. During the hearing, this Admini strative Law Judge received a State Online Query (SOLQ) from the department showing Claimant h ad received a Fully Favorable decisi on from the Social Sec urity Administration (SSA) and had b een determined disabled, with an onset date established as of January 18, 2013.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is es tablished by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400. 105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administra tive Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

In Michigan, the SSA's deter mination of disability onset is binding for MA eligibility purposes. In the present case, evidence of the favorable SSA decision conclusively establishes Claimant m eets the federal standard necessar y to qualify for MA pursuant to BEM Items 150 and 260.

Based on the SOLQ show ing SSA found that Claimant was disabled with an onset date of January, 2013, the only remaining issue is whether Claimant is eligible for Retro-MA. Departmental polic y states that Retro-MA coverage is available back to the first day of the third calendar month prior to:

• The current application for FIP and MA applicants and persons apply ing to be added to the group.

• The most recent application (not redetermination) for FIP and MA recipients. BAM 115

In this case, Claimant applied for MA, Retro-MA and SDA on J anuary 29, 2013. Claimant was found Disabled by SSA with an established onset date of January, 2013. According to departmental policy, "Retro-MA coverage is available back to the first day of the third calendar month prior to the current application for . . . MA." BEM 150. Therefore, based on depar tment policy, this Administrative Law Judge finds Claimant is entitled to Retro- MA back to the first day of the third calendar month prior to his January, 2013 application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department erred in de termining Claimant is not disabled.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The department shall approve MA and SDA benef its for Claimant as long as he is otherwise eligible to receive them and Retro-MA back to October, 2012.
- 2. Departmental review of Claimant's medical condition is not necessary as long as his SSA disability status continues.

Juli 2. a

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 22, 2013

Date Mailed: August 22, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 day s of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Admi nistrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decisi on and Order or, if a time ly request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is ne wly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to addres s ot her relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the loc al DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/las

