STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

		1

Reg. No.: 2013-55511

Issue No.: 3000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 29, 2013 County: Wayne (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Eric Feldman

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9

and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requitelephone hearing was held on July 29, 2013 behalf of Claimant included Claimant, and the Department of Human Services (Department Specialist.	3, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on Participants on behalf of
<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>
Whether the Department properly:	
 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 	
for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? 	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On June 12, 2013, Claimant applied for FAP benefits.

- 2. On June 21, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying Claimant that her FAP benefits were denied effective June 12, 2013, ongoing, due to Claimant not being an eligible student. Exhibit 1.
- 3. On June 28, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action. Exhibit 1.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reregister the June 12, 2013 FAP application; begin reprocessing the application/recalculating the FAP budget for June 12, 2013, ongoing, in accordance with Department policy; issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from June 12, 2013, ongoing; and notify Claimant in writing of its FAP decision in accordance with Department policy.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

1. Reregister the June 12, 2013 FAP application;

2013-55511/EJF

- 2. Begin reprocessing the application/recalculating the FAP budget for June 12, 2013, ongoing, in accordance with Department policy;
- 3. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from June 12, 2013, ongoing; and

4. Notify Claimant in writing of its FAP decision in accordance with Department policy.

Eric Feldman
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 7, 2013

Date Mailed: August 7, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

EJF/cl

CC: