STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-53911 2006; 3008; 1005 August 15, 2013 SSPC-West
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E.	Harris	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on August 15, 201 on behalf of Claimant included Interpreter for Participants on behalf (Department) included Eligibility Specialist,	st for a hearing. 3, from Lansing, Mi and	After due notice, a chigan. Participants
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claiman for:	t's application 🔲 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received	benefits for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

 There is no DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action in evidence. Per the Claimant's hearing request, on June 12, 2013, the Department ☐ closed Claimant's case due to her failure to return any of the required verifications.
3. There is no DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action in evidence. Per the Claimant's hearing request, on June 12, 2013, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure.
 On June 18, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
Mathematical The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

This matter was originally scheduled for July 23, 2013; however, on June 24, 2013, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Order of Adjournment for Interpreter Services after unsuccessfully attempting to conduct the hearing.

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, 1999 AC, R 400.901 through Rule 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because a claim for assistance is denied or is not acted upon with reasonable promptness, and to any recipient who is aggrieved by a Department action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance. Rule 400.903(1). A request for hearing shall be in writing and signed by the claimant, petitioner, or authorized representative. Rule 400.904(1). The Department's copy of the Claimant's on-line application clearly shows that the Claimant only applied for FAP and MA benefits. As the Claimant never applied for FIP, there can be no negative action regarding a FIP issue. The Claimant's request for hearing on a FIP issue is therefore dismissed.

The Claimant in this case did not contest getting the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist. The Claimant did also not contest that she did not submit the required verifications. The Claimant confirmed that she had a language barrier and she did therefore not understand the form and did not respond to it.

Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (2012) p. 2, provides that the Department worker tell the Claimant what verification is required, how to obtain it and the due date by using either a DHS-3503 Verification Checklist, or for MA determinations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice to request verification. In this case, the Department did that. BAM 130 (2012) p. 5, provides that verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. It instructs Department workers to send a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification, or when the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. In this case, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the time period to submit the verification had lapsed and the Claimant had made no reasonable effort to provide the verification. As such, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department has met its burden of establishing that it was acting in accordance with policy when taking action to deny the Claimant's application for failure to submit the required verification.

Date Mailed: 8/21/13

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case for: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision s \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED.
<u>/s/</u>
Susanne E. Harris
Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: 8/20/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

