#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013 52315 3002, 2001

August 12, 2013 Wayne (49)

# **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Lynn M. Ferris

# SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 12, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:

denied Claimant's application for benefits

Closed Claimant's case for benefits

Reduced Claimant's benefits

for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?
State Emergency Services (SER)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On July 1, 2013, the Department:
	<ul> <li>denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ⊠ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2.	On July 1, 2013, the Department:
	<ul> <li>denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
3.	On May 22, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	⊠ denial

i closure ☐ reduction.

4. On June 5, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence

Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: the Department agreed with regard to the AMP budget for earned income and the FAP budget for earned income that the Department would recalculate both budgets to remove a check dated April 6, 2013 in the amount of \$245.97 when calculating both the AMP eligibility and the FAP allotment. If the recalculation results in a change in eligibility for AMP or the amount of the FAP allotment, the Department will notify the Claimant by Notice of Case Action and eligibility will be adjusted retroactive to July 1, 2013 (AMP) and June 1, 2013 (FAP).

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall initiate the recalculation of the Claimant's FAP and AMP budgets to redetermine eligibility and FAP allotment amount based upon earned income which does not include the check dated April 6, 2013 in the amount of \$245.97.
- 2. If Claimant FAP is changed due to the removal of the check the Department shall issue a FAP supplement to the Claimant for FAP benefits he was otherwise eligible to receive in accordance with Department policy for the months in question.
- 3. If upon recalculation of the AMP (medical) budget the Claimant is deemed eligible, the Department shall initiate reinstatement the Claimant's AMP case in accordance with Department policy retroactive to July 1, 2013.

4. The Department shall provide a notice of Case action to the Claimant in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 20, 2013

Date Mailed: August 20, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/cl

