STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013-51821

 Issue No.:
 2006

 Case No.:
 Image: Constant of the second secon

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 12, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly 🖾 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

____Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Direct Support Services (DSS)?

State SSI Payments (SSP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On April 18, 2013, Claimant applied for received:
 ☐ FIP FAP MA AMP SDA CDC DSS SSP benefits.
- 2. On an unknown date, the Department sent Claimant's a request for verification (VCL) with a due date of April 29, 2013.

- 3. On an unknown date, the Department sent Claimant a Notification of Case Action (NOCA) denying Claimant's application due to not providing verification by the due date of April 29, 2013.
- 2. On June 6, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions or lack thereof.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.

It should be noted that the Department failed to provide a copy of the NOCA sent to Claimant at the hearing; therefore, the date of the Department notice to Claimant is not known nor is the date upon which its action was effective.

At the hearing, the Department testified that Claimant called the Department before the VCL due date of April 29, 2013, and advised them that the insurance company from whom she requested documentation had told her that they could not provide her with the documentation requested for at least two days. At that time, Claimant asked the Department if she could send the documentation when she received it from the insurance company.

The Department representative testified that she could not recall the substance of Claimant's phone call but agreed that Claimant called prior to the VCL due date.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant made a reasonable attempt to provide the Department with the requested verification and should have been granted an extension. BAM 130 (May 2012).

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

- acted in accordance with Department policy when it
- did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to extend the due date for Claimant to provide verification.
- failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

 \boxtimes REVERSED.

AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to

and REVERSED IN PART with respect

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Initiate the reregistration and processing of Claimant's April 18, 2013, MA application.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 23, 2013

Date Mailed: October 23, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

2013-51821/MJB

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

