### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



2013-51474 Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

5022

August 8, 2013 SSPC-East (98)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Eric Feldman

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a threeway telephone hearing was held on August 8, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Departm ent) included Assistant Payment Supervisor.

### ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance for non-heat electricity and heat?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On May 28, 2013, Claimant applied for SER assistance for non-heat electricity and heat.
- 2. On May 29, 2013, the Departm ent sent notice of the applic ation denial to Claimant. Exhibit 1.
- 3. On June 6, 2013, the Department receiv ed Claimant's hearing request, protesting the SER denial. Exhibit 1.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by, Mich Admin Rule Department polic ies are found in the State 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

In this case, on May 28, 2013, Claimant applied for SER assistance for non-heat electricity and heat. On May 29, 2013, the D epartment sent notice of the applic ation denial to Claimant. Exhibit 1. Specific ally, the notice den ied Claimant's non-heat electricity in the amount of \$ due to her excess income. Exhi bit 1. Also, the notice denied Claimant's heat a ssistance in the amount of \$ due to her excess income. Exhi bit 1. Also, the notice denied Claimant's heat a ssistance in the amount of \$ due to her excess income. Exhi bit 1. Also, the notice denied Claimant's heat a ssistance in the amount of \$ due to her excess income. Exhi bit 1.

Low-income households who meet all SER eligibility requirem ents may receive assistance to help them with household heat and electric costs. ERM 301 (February 2013), p. 1. When the group's heat or elec tric service for their current residence is in threat of shutoff or is already shut off and must be restored, payment may be authorized to the enrolled provider. ER M 301, p. 1. The amount of the payment is the minimum necessary to prevent shutoff or restore service e, up to the fiscal y ear cap. ERM 301, p. 1.

There are no income copayments for SER energy services. ERM 208 (October 2012), p. 1. With respect to income, clients are eith er eligible or they are not. ERM 208, p. 1. For a group to be eligible for energy services, the combined mont hly net income that is received or expected to be rec eived by all group m embers in the 30-day countable income period, cannot exceed the standard for SER energy/Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) s ervices for the number of group me mbers. ERM 208, p. 1.

The Department establishes the SER countable income period and determines the SER group's net countable income based on the application dat e and entry of income information in the dat a collection screens. ERM 206 (October 2011), p. 1. The SER budget computation period is 30 days. ERM 206, p. 1. This is referred to as the countable income period. ERM 206, p. 1. The first day of the countable inc ome period is the date the local office receives a signe d application for SER. ERM 206, p. 1. The Department considers unearned income for the countable income period. ERM 206, p. 1. The Department uses the net amount received for Social Security benefits. ERM 206, p. 1. To determine net unearned income, the Department must deduct payments for health insurance and Medicare premiums that will not be reimbursed from the gross amount. ERM 206, p. 4.

At the hearing, it was not disputed that Claimant was a group si ze one. Exhibit II, SE R Income Need Standar ds for Energy Services, states that a group si ze of one canno t exceed \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ in the 30-day countable income period. ERM 208, p. 4. The Department testified that Claim ant's unearned income at the time of application of her Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insu rance (RSDI) payment was \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ See SOLQ, Exhibit 1. The Department testifi ed that this amount exceeded the policy lim its and thus, Claimant was denied due to excess income.

Claimant testified that she only received \$ **1000** in RSDI at the time of application. Moreover, Claimant testified t hat the Department did not ta ke into consideration her shelter expenses, bills, and other expenses. A review of the SOLQ document indic ates that Claimant's net monthly benefit RSDI amount is See Exhibit 1. Moreover, the SOLQ document does indicate that Claimant's gross RSDI amount is See Exhibit 1. However, Claimant has in Part B Premium M edicare deducted from each payment. If the Medicare premium amount is subtracted from the See Exhibit 1. RSDI payment, this results in the amount of The SOLQ document, though, indicates a net monthly benefit payment of Sector Exhibit 1. It was unclear what other deductions were occurring in Claimant's RSDI payments to result in the total net amount of Sector Exhibit 1. It was unclear what other deductions were occurring in Claimant's RSDI payments to result in the total net amount of Sector Exhibit 1.

Based on t he foregoing information and evidence, the Department improperly denied Claimant's application for non-heat electricity and heat. ERM 206 states to determine net unearned income, the Department must deduct payments for health insurance and Medicare premiums that will not be reimburs ed from the gross amount. ERM 206, p. 4. The Department used Claimant's gross income when it denied her SER request. The Department should have deducted Claimant's for health insurance and in Part B Premium Medicare when it determined if her unear ned income had exceeded the limits. This amount is found to be for the SOLQ screen indicates that the net monthly benefit amount is See Exhibit 1. The Department was not able to testify why Claimant's net amount is Section as well. Nevertheless, both amounts are below the SER Income Need Standards for Energy Services for a group size of one.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied improperly denied

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department:

Accordingly, the Departm ent's SER dec ision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reregistration of Claimant's May 28, 2013 SER application;
- 2. Begin reprocessing the application/recalculating the SER budget for May 28, 2013, ongoing, in accordance with Department policy;
- 3. Begin issuing supplements to C laimant for any SER benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from May 28, 2013, ongoing; and

4. Begin notifying Claimant in writing of its SER decision in accordanc e with Department policy.

**Eric Feldman** Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 26, 2013

Date Mailed: August 26, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPE AL:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final dec ision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the De cision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Dec ision a nd Order or, if a tim ely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

# 2013-51474/EF

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### EF/las

