## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J T		NI	\ <b>T</b>	$\GammaER$	$\mathbf{\cap}$	С.
11	<b>u</b> 1	пг	IVI 🗲	<b>.</b> .	ırk		

	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.:	2013-49171 2001, 3016		
	Hearing Date: County:	June 24, 2013 Wayne (98)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer				
HEARING DECIS	SION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on June 24, 20 Administrative Law Judge Michael Bennane. included the Claimant and her Authorized Recommunity Care Services. Participants on be Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Worker.	for a hearing.  113, from Detroi Participants on epresentative, ehalf of the De	After due notice, a t, Michigan, before behalf of Claimant,		
On July 2, 2013, the case was reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Jan Leventer for preparation of a decision and order.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's for:	application   cl	ose Claimant's case		
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac	•	rial, and substantial		
<ol> <li>Claimant ∑ applied for benefits ☐ received be</li> </ol>	enefits for:			

	<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>On May 13, 2013, the Department</li> <li></li></ul>					
3.	On May 13, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.					
4.	On May 20, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.					
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).						
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.					
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.						

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. With regard to the FAP program, Department policy is found in Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 245, "School Attendance and Student Status." This policy is applied statewide, and only permits fulltime students to receive FAP benefits if they are employed twenty hours or more per week. The facts of this case are that Claimant is employed only fifteen hours per week. Pursuant to BEM 245, therefore, she is not eligible for FAP benefits at this time. Deppartment of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 245 (2013).

Next, with regard to the Adult Medical Program, this is a program that is not continuously open to accept new enrollments. When the Department is not accepting new enrollment and an application is received, the Department must notify the customer that the program is closed to new enrollments. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 640 (2012). In this case, having considered all of the evidence as a whole, it is found and determined that the Department acted correctly in denying

AMP benefits to Claimant due to its lack of ability to accept new enrollments, and it acted correctly in informing her of the reason for the denial of her application. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\boxtimes$  did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: August 27, 2013

Date Mailed: August 28, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
of the original hearing decision.

- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/tm

CC:

