# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-48043

Issue No.: 2006

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 17, 2013 County: Wayne (82)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on July 17, 2013, in Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included APS, and ES.

#### **ISSUE**

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA)?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA.
- 2. On May 14, 2013, Claimant submitted a request for hearing regarding MA.
- 3. At the hearing, the Department did not present any documentation for examination, except for its Hearing Summary.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 105; BAM 130 The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. BAM 130 If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. *Id.* "Tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date; see **Timeliness of Verifications** in this item. Use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist (VCL), or for MA redeterminations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice, to request verification." *Id.* 

In the present case, the Department presented only its Hearing Summary for examination. In its Hearing Summary, the Department claims that the request for hearing is beyond 90 days of a written notice of case action regarding Claimant's application of June 30, 2010. However, the Department did not present a written notice of case action to substantiate its allegation. The Department then contradicts itself, and states in the Hearing Summary that SHRT approved Claimant's application.as of May of 2010. It is logical to conclude that if SHRT were involved, a hearing request was timely filed. In addition, the Department states that Claimant did not provide verifications as requested in a Verification Checklist (VCL). However, the Department did not present a VCL for examination at the hearing to determine whether the VCL was in compliance with Department policy. Without such documentary proof, it cannot be concluded that the Department acted properly and it cannot be concluded that Claimant did not cooperate. In addition, Claimant testified credibly that she did cooperate to the best of her ability.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department improperly denied Claimant's application for MA.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED for the reasons stated within the record.

THE DEPARTMENT SHALL BEGIN TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING STEPS WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement and reprocessing of Claimant's MA application of June 30, 2010 with respect to financial factors.
- 2. Issue a notice of eligibility/ineligibility to Claimant, in accordance with Department policy.

Jusa (. Bulle Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 1, 2013

Date Mailed: August 5, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

# 2013-48043/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# SCB/tm

