#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013-47719

 Issue No.:
 1025

 Case No.:
 July 31, 2013

 Hearing Date:
 July 31, 2013

 County:
 Wayne (57)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Eric Feldman

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 31, 2013, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claim ant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Family Independence** Pamily Independence of Specialist, and **Family Independence** Manager. Also, the Office of Child Support (OCS) was not present for the hearing.

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claim ant's Family Independen ce Program (FIP) application effective February 16, 2013, ongoing, due to her failure to establish paternity and/or obtain child support?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On April 11, 2008, Claimant was placed in non-cooperati on status with the OCS. See Exhibit 1.
- 2. In January or February 2013, Claimant applied for FIP benefits.
- 3. On March 6, 2013, the D epartment sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action denying Claimant's FIP application effective February 16, 2013, due to her non-cooperation status with the OCS. Exhibit 1.
- 4. On May 9, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Depart ment's action. Exhibit 1.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc illation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

In this case, on April 11, 2008, Claimant was placed in non- cooperation status with the OCS. See Exhibit 1. In January or F ebruary 2013, Claimant appli ed for FIP benefit s. On March 6, 2013, the Department sent Cla imant a Notice of Case Action deny ing Claimant's FIP application effective Febr uary 16, 2013, due t o her non- cooperation status with the OCS. Exhibit 1. On Ma y 9, 2013, Claimant fil ed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action. Exhibit 1.

The custodial parent of childr en must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good caus e for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. BEM 255 (December 2011), p. 1. Failure to cooperate without good caus e results in disgualification. BE M 255, p. 1. Any indiv idual r equired to cooperate who fails to cooperate without good cause causes FIP group ineligibility for a minimum of one month. BEM 255, p 10. Fo r FIP applications, client has 10 days to cooperate with the OCS. BEM 255, p. 10. The Department informs the client to contact the OCS in the verification chec k list (VCL). BEM 255, p. 10. T he disqualification is imposed if client fails to cooperate on or before the VCL due dat e when all of the following are true: there is a begin date of non-cooperation in the absent parent logical unit of work; there is not a subs equent comply date; support/paternity action is still a been granted nor is a claim factor in the child's elig ibility; and good cause has not pending. BEM 255, p. 10.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that she first learned of her non-cooperation regarding her son with the March 6, 2013 FIP denial letter. However, it was discovered during the hearing that Claimant should have been aware that she was in non-cooperation with the OCS based on her own submitted exhibit. S ee Exhibit A. This document is dated November 3, 2011 and originat ed from the OCS, which addresses child support issues regarding her child. See Exhi bit A. Moreover, the Department provided as evidence e Claimant's non-cooperation not ice which is dated April 11, 2008. See Exhibit 1. Nevertheless, Claimant testified that she c ontacted the Friend of the Court and nev er received any contact and/or issu es regarding her child. The Department testified that Claimant had to also contact the OCS and not only the Friend of the Court to resolv e her non-cooperation s tatus. It should be not ed that the Department could not provide testimony or evidence that a VCL was s ent to Claim ant requesting that she cooperat e with the OCS.

Based on t he foregoing information and evidence, the De partment improperly closed Claimant's FIP benefits effective February 16, 2013. It is evident that Claimant was aware that she was in non-cooperation with the OCS based on the submitted exhibits. See Exhibits 1 and A. However, the D epartment failed to f ollow procedures by informing the Claimant to contact the OCS in a VCL. BEM 255, p. 10. The Department did not present any testimony or evidence to support that it sent such a document.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the r ecord, finds that the Department did not act properly when it deni ed Claimant's FIP application effective Februar y 16, 2013, ongoing.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  **REVERSED** for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reregistration Claimant's FIP application;
- 2. Begin reprocessing the application/recalculating the FIP budget for February 16, 2013, ongoing, in accordance with Department policy;
- 3. Begin informing the Claimant to contact the OCS in a verification check list (VCL), if she is subject to a non-cooperation status with the OCS;
- 4. Begin issuing supplements to Claimant for any FIP benef its she was eligible to receive but did not from February 16, 2013, ongoing; and
- 5. Begin notifying Claimant in writing of its FIP dec ision in accordance with Department policy.

Eric Feldman Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 26, 2013

Date Mailed: August 26, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evid ence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### EJF/las

cc: