STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-46942 1025, 2006 June 10, 2013 Wayne (76)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Le	eventer	
HEARING DECI	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrat and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on June 10, 20 Administrative Law Judge Michael Bennane. included the Claimant. Participants on behalf o (Department) included	for a hearing. 113, from Detroit Participants on f the Department	After due notice, a t, Michigan, before behalf of Claimant
On July 5, 2013, the case was reassigned to Adm preparation of a decision and order.	inistrative Law Jud	dge Jan Leventer for
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly deny Claimant's for:	application $oxtimes$ cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	Adult Medical Ass State Disability A Child Developme	` ,
FINDINGS OF F	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa		rial, and substantial
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received be 	enefits for:	

	 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 		
	2. On May 7, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reopened Claimant's case due to a determination that she was in compliance with the requirements of the Department's Office of Child Support (OCS).		
3.	The Department did not send ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ reopening procedure.		
4.	On May 14, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.		
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.		

Additionally, at the hearing the Department was unable to prove whether, or when, Claimant's benefits were reopened.

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. In this case the Department submitted no information whatsoever to establish the status of Claimant's FIP and MA benefits, other than to state that she was eligible as of May 7, 2013.

Having reviewed all of the evidence in this case in its entirety, it is found and determined that the Department in this case failed in its responsibility to provide benefits and protect the Claimant's right to those benefits. The Department failed to act in accordance with

BAM 105 when it failed to act on the May 7, 2013, approval from OCS, and provide appropriate benefits. The Department shall be reversed. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision s \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT SHALL BEGIN THE PROCESS OF THE FOLLOWING STEPS WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING OF THIS ORDER:		
 Determine Claimant's MA and FIP benefit levels using all appropriate income and expense information available. Provide retroactive and ongoing FIP and MA benefits to Claimant at the benefit levels to which she is entitled. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure. Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge		
, tall morative Law dauge		

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 13, 2013

Date Mailed: August 20, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

cc: