

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

██████████  
██████████████████  
██████████████████

Reg. No.: 2013-45562  
Issue No.: 1000; 6000  
Case No.: ██████████  
Hearing Date: July 24, 2013  
County: Wayne (43)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Eric Feldman

**SETTLEMENT ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 24, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████, Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Case Manager.

**ISSUES**

Whether the Department properly closed Claimant's case benefits for Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Whether the Department properly closed Claimant's case benefits for Family Independence Program (FIP) from February 2013 through April 2013?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of CDC and FIP benefits.
2. On December 27, 2012, Claimant was in noncompliance with the Office of Child Support (OCS).
3. On January 4, 2013, Claimant was in compliance with the OCS.
4. Effective February 2013 through April 2013, Claimant's FIP benefits were closed.

5. The Department never sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action that her FIP benefits were closed effective February 2013 through April 2013.
6. In March 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Redetermination.
7. On April 19, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying her that her FIP benefits would be closed effective May 1, 2013, ongoing. Exhibit 1.
8. On April 23, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying her that her FIP benefits were approved effective May 1, 2013, ongoing. Exhibit 1.
9. On May 3, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting her CDC and FIP benefits. Exhibit 1.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

As a preliminary matter, the Department testified that Claimant's CDC benefits were closed in error. Moreover, the Department testified that Claimant's CDC benefits were reinstated. Claimant testified that she did not receive any loss in benefits for her CDC case. Thus, pursuant to Mich Admin Rule 400.906(1), Claimant's CDC hearing request is hereby DISMISSED.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: begin removing Claimant's non-cooperation status with the Office of Child Support, if any; initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FIP case effective February 2013 through April 2013; begin recalculating the FIP budget for February 2013 through April 2013 in accordance with Department policy; begin issuing supplements to Claimant for any FIP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from February 2013 through April 2013; and begin notifying Claimant in writing of its FIP decision in accordance with Department policy.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

1. Begin removing Claimant's non-cooperation status with the Office of Child Support, if any;
2. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FIP case effective February 2013 through April 2013;
3. Begin recalculating the FIP budget for February 2013 through April 2013 in accordance with Department policy;
4. Begin issuing supplements to Claimant for any FIP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from February 2013 through April 2013; and
5. Begin notifying Claimant in writing of its FIP decision in accordance with Department policy.

Based on the above discussion, it is ALSO ORDERED that Claimant's CDC hearing request is DISMISSED pursuant to Mich Admin Rule 400.906(1).



**Eric Feldman**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 13, 2013

Date Mailed: August 13, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

EJF/cl

cc: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]