STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN	THE	ΜΔΤ	TFR	OF:
\mathbf{H}	INE	IVIAI	IEN	UF.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.:	2013-43706 2026;2014			
	Hearing Date: County:	July 23, 2013 DHS SSPC-West			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fal	nie				
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, July Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. 23, 2013 from Claimant. Partic	After due notice, a Lansing, Michigan. ipants on behalf of			
ISSUE					
Due to excess income, did the Department prope \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's be		laimant's application			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	Adult Medical Ass State Disability As Child Developme	` ,			

FINDINGS OF FACT

	ne Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial ridence on the whole record, finds as material fact:
١.	Claimant
	 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).
2.	On April 12, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.

3. On April 12, 2013, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial ☐ closure ☐ reduction.	b
 On April 29, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application ☐ closure of the case ☒ reduction of benefits. 	g
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	Э
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	S
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-19342 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101311. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.	3, e -
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS F400.3001-3015.	s al e
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.).
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCI 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.	า
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCI 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.	of 5. 8

Additionally, the Claimant is a recepient of Social Security benefits in the amount of \$1,482 and pension benefits of \$220 per month in unearned income for a total gross

income of \$1,702. Department Exhibit 5-6. The Department caseworker determined eligibility for MA where the Claimant had excess income for MA AD-Care, where the income limit was \$931, but the Claimant had a net income of \$1,682, which resulted in her being denied for MA AD-Care.

As a result of her excess income for MA AD-Care, the Claimant was determined eligible for a MA Spenddown/Deductible case. The Claimant had gross income of \$1,702. After deductions of a \$436 of adult's prorated income, the Claimant had a net income of \$1,264. After deductions of a protected income limit of \$375, the Claimant had a deductible of \$889 that she must meet before being eligible for MA.

The Department has met its burden that the Claimant is eligible for MA with a deductible of \$889 that she must meet before being eligible for MA. The Department has met its burden that the Claimant had excess income for MA AD-Care. BEM 640.

burden that the Claimant had excess income for MA AD-Care. BEM 640.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \square improperly
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
/ <u>s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: <u>08/13/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>08/14/2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision; or
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant; or
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

