

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2013-39466
Issue No.: 2018
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: August 1, 2013
County: Macomb-12 County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 1, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]). The Department did not call in for the hearing and I proceeded in the absence of this party. The hearing was scheduled to begin at 2:00 pm and as of 2:25 pm, I had not received any notification from the Department indicating they were going to be late or needed additional time to prepare for the hearing. Having not heard anything from the Department, I proceeded with the hearing as scheduled.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly register and process the Claimant's November 17, 2011 application for Medical Assistance (MA) and retro coverage beginning August 2011?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. On November 17, 2011, the Claimant or Claimant's representative submitted to the Department an application for MA with retroactive coverage beginning August of 2011.
2. On March 22, 2013, [REDACTED] requested a hearing regarding the November 17, 2011 application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The MA program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the BAM, the BEM and the RFT.

Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. (BAM 600).

The local office and client or authorized hearing representative will each present their position to the ALJ, who will determine whether the actions taken by the local office are correct according to fact, law, policy and procedure. In most cases, the client or authorized hearing representative and local office staff will be together in the hearing room and will speak into a speaker telephone. The ALJ will be on the other end of the phone line. Following the opening statement(s), if any, the ALJ directs the DHS case presenter to explain the position of the local office. The hearing summary, or highlights of it, may be read into the record at this time. The hearing summary may be used as a guide in presenting the evidence, witnesses and exhibits that support the Department's position. Always include the following in planning the case presentation. (BAM 600).

In this case, the Department did not provide any testimony or exhibits relating to how the Department processed the Claimant's November 17, 2011 MA application. Therefore I was unable to determine whether or not the Department acted in conformity with the applicable laws and policies when they processed the application. Based upon this fact, I find the Department improperly processed the MA application in question.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find the Department did not act properly in this matter.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

The Department is ordered to:

1. Initiate a registering and processing of the Claimant's November 17, 2011 MA application with retro coverage beginning August of 2011.



Corey A. Arendt
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 23, 2013
Date Mailed: August 23, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

cc:

