STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ΙT	HЕ	NΛ	AT.	TFI	P	E-
111		пс	. IVI	AI		\mathbf{r}	<i>,</i> – .



Reg. No.: 2013-37411

Issue No.: 2015 Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 14, 2013
County: SSPC-WEST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, August 14, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included, the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included, Cory Davis, ES.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly:	
□ denied Claimant's application for benefits □ closed Claimant's case for benefits □ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On March 19, 2013, the Department:

	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 						
	under the following program(s):						
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.						
2.	On March 19, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:						
	☑ denial☐ closure☐ reduction.						
3.	On March 28, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.						
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).						
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal possibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996.						
progra impler Regula Agend	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 2001 through Rule 400.3015.						
Securi The D	e Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.						
	ne Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is istered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.						

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: In this case, the Department has agreed to settle and to redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for MA retroactive of March 6, 2013, since the Claimant had an eligible child for Group 2 MA, Caretaker Relative.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for MA retroactive of March 6, 2013.
- 2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.

3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>08/29/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>08/30/2013</u>

NOTICE: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/pw

CC:

