## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-37363 2006 August 15, 2013 Macomb 36		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Admin and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's req telephone hearing was held on August 15, 2 on behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Eligi	uest for a hearing. 2013, from Lansing, M Participants on bel	After due notice, a ichigan. Participants		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as mater		erial, and substantial		
Claimant    □ applied for benefits    □ received benefits for:				
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☑ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		
2. On April 1, 2013 , the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ due to failure to return the redetermination	closed Claimant's case n form			

	On March 18, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the Contain Cont
4. <b>(</b>	On March 26, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 l Age thro	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program active October 1, 1996.
prog impl Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is lemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Sec The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ninistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Serv	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human vices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 3.3180.
and 1990 The and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE IXX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 10, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 199. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 1.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

The contested fact in this case is whether or not the Claimant returned the redetermination form to the Department. Though she could not give the date it was turned in, the Claimant testified, "To my knowledge I turned it in on time." The Administrative Law Judge finds it curious that the Claimant never made this assertion in her hearing request. The Department's testimony is specific and consistent with other evidence in the record and therefore found to be credible and persuasive. As such, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the Claimant did not return the redetermination form.

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 210 (2013) p. 2, provides that MA benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. As the Claimant did not return the redetermination form, no redetermination of eligibility could be completed. As such, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that when the Department took action to close the Claimant's case, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge properly denied Claimant's application improper properly closed Claimant's case improper AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.	concludes that the Department
DECISION AND ORDER	<u>R</u>
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above F of Law, finds that the Department $\boxtimes$ did act properly.	indings of Fact and Conclusions did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's  AMP FIP FAP  is  AFFIRMED REVERSED.	MA
1	/s/
	Susanne E. Harris
	Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
	Department of Human Services
Date Signed: 8/20/13	·

Date Mailed: 8/21/13

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/tb

