STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEI ARTIMERT OF TO	MAN CENTICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013 35170 2013 49963 2009 August 14, 2013 Wayne (49) (55)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Fe	rris	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's reques person hearing was held on August 14, 2013 behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Pa Human Services (Department) included (55) and ES (49).	t for a hearing. Aft, from Detroit, Michigricipants on behalf of FIM, and	er due notice, an in gan. Participants on
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimar for:	nt's application ⊠ cl	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? Ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received	d benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

dı	On April 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case ue to a Bridges system mass update which generated a finding that Claimant was ect to an outstanding felony warrant. Exhibit 1.
\geq	On March 11, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) otice of the denial. Closure.
	On March 14, 2013 , Claimant filed a timely hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☑ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	artment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the ges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
\square The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	
mass was not p other numb recor jail. the	tionally, in this case, the Department closed the Claimant's FAP case due to a supdate that found the Claimant was subject to an outstanding felony warrant and subject to a Criminal Justice Disqualification. At the hearing the Department did produce any proof for the basis for its conclusion that a warrant was outstanding in than a Notice of Case Action stating same. The Claimant was given a phone ber for an OIG to call by the Department. The Claimant also attempted to get right from the court to clear the problem and was arrested on May 3, 2013 and put in Subsequently, the Claimant was released from jail and 3 Orders of Dismissal from were issued on June 3, 2013. These dismissals were provided by Claimant at the hearing and were for misdemeanors. Claimant Exhibit 1 and 2. At

On May 6, 2013 the Department OIG issued a letter indicating that as of May 3, 2013 Claimant was no longer subject to an outstanding Felony warrant. Exhibit 4.

mistake, and he could not disprove something that did not exist.

the hearing the Claimant advised that the warrant was issued in error and that the OIG he spoke to also indicated there was an error. The Claimant contended there was a

At the hearing the Department produced no evidence that on the date the Notice of Case Action was issued that the Claimant had an outstanding felony warrant other than the Notice of Case Action which did not prove the existence of an outstanding felony warrant at the time the Notice of Case Action was issued and therefore the Department

did not sustain its burden of proof that it properly closed Claimant's case. This Decision also was supported by the Claimant's proofs indicating dismissal of 3 misdemeanors. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. \times \text{did not act properly.} Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: The department shall reinstate the Claimant's FAP case retroactive to April 1, 2013 and shall issue a FAP supplement to the Claimant for FAP benefits the Claimant was otherwise entitled to receive retroactive to the FAP closure, in accordance with Department policy. Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: August 19, 2013 Date Mailed: August 19, 2013 NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or

reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

LMF/cl

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

