## **STATE OF MICHIGAN** MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM **ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-35035 5000 June 17, 2013 Wayne (43)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer		
SETTLEMENT C	RDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administra and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on June 17, 2 Administrative Law Judge Michael Bennane. included the Claimant and his Authorized Repre Participants on behalf of the Department of Himmonday, Eligibility Specialist.  On July 5, 2013, the case was reassigned to Administrative.	for a hearing. 013, from Detro Participants on sentative, his care uman Services (D	After due notice, a it, Michigan, before behalf of Claimant giver,
preparation of a decision and order.	mionanto Lan Ga	age can zevenien iei
ISSUE		
Whether the Department properly:		
<ul> <li>☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>		
for:		
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Relief (SER)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?
Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On March 11, 2013, the Department:
	<ul> <li>☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☒ SER.
2.	On March 11, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	<ul><li>☑ denial</li><li>☐ closure</li><li>☐ reduction.</li></ul>
3.	On March 15, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
SER p 400.70	e State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rule 2001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State gency Relief Manual (ERM).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reregister Claimant's SER application and process it using Claimant's countable income from the FAP Gross Income Test.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or

agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT SHALL INITIATE THE FOLLOWING STEPS WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. Reregister Claimant's SER application for utility assistance.
- 2. Process Claimant's application using his countable income from the FAP Gross Income Test prepared by the Department.
- 3. Provide SER benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which he is entitled.
- 4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 13, 2013

Date Mailed: August 20, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

