STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2Issue No.:1Case No.:1Hearing Date:3County:1

2013-33064 1025

June 12, 2013 Wayne (41)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 12, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan, before Administrative Law Judge Michael Bennane. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist and Eligibility Specialist and Eligibility Independence Manager.

On July 5, 2013, the case was reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Jan Leventer for preparation of a decision and order.

ISSUE

Did the	Department	properly [] deny	Claimant's	application	\square	close	Claimant's	case
for:									

- Family Independence Program (FIP)?
- Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant \square applied for benefits \square received benefits for:
 - Family Independence Program (FIP). Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).

2. On March 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application \boxtimes closed Claimant's case due to a determination that she failed to cooperate with the Department's Office of

Child Support (OCS) in providing necessary information.

- 3. On February 15, 2013, the Department sent Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) Claimant notice of the denial. 🖂 closure.
- 4. On February 26, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \Box denial of the application. \Box closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

Additionally, Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 255, "Child Support," is the applicable Department policy in this case. This policy requires the Department to seek paternity and child support information, and it requires the customer to cooperate in providing Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual necessary information. (BEM) 255 (2011), p. 1.

At the hearing the Claimant gave credible and unrebutted testiimony that she gave the Department all of the information she had with regard to the child's father. She stated she gave the information to a **second**, her Specialist in the Office of Child Support.

The Office of Child Support did not appear at the hearing, and the Department provided no testimony or documents to substantiate its claim that Claimant failed to cooperate.

Pursuant to BEM 255, and having carefully considered all of the evidence in this case in its entirety, it is found and determined that Claimant is in full cooperation with OCS, and the Department in this case failed to protect the client's right to benefits. The Claimant's testimony is accepted and is found as fact in this case. The Department presented nothing that would contradict the Claimant's testimony whatsoever. The Department in this case is in error and shall be reversed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

proper

properly closed Claimant's case

ly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case

for:		🛛 FIP	🗌 FAP 🗌] MA [] SDA [CDC.
------	--	-------	---------	--------	---------	------

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. \boxtimes did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP X FIP FAP AA SDA CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits effective March 1, 2013.
- 2. Assign Claimant a date of cooperation with OCS as the date she reported the child's father's identity to Mr. Huff at OCS.
- 3. Provide retroactive and ongoing FIP benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which she is entitled.
- 4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan love.

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 13, 2013

Date Mailed: August 20, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

2013-33064/JL

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

