### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearring was held on 6/13/13. Claimant was represented at the hearing by . Claimant personally appeared and testified. The department was represented by Lead Worker

#### ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 8/30/12, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On 12/31/12, the Medical Rev iew Team denied c laimant's a pplication stating that claimant could perform other work.
- 3. On 1/24/13, the department casework er sent claim ant notice that his application was denied.
- 4. On 2/13/13, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. On 4/22/13, the State Hearing Review T eam again denied claimant's application.
  - 6. The hearing was held on 6/13/13. At the hearing, claimant waived the time periods and requested to submit additional medical information.

- 7. Additional medical information wa s submitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team on 7/10/13 and 7/17/13.
- 8. On 8/22/13, the State Hearing Review T eam again denied claimant's application.
- 9. Claimant is a year-old man whose birt h date is Claimant is 5'9" tall and weighs 245 pounds. Claimant is a Claimant is a Claimant is able to read and write and does have basic math skills.
- 10. Claimant last worked 2011 as a drill/metal machine operator. He has a lso worked construction, farming and renting equipment.
- 11. Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: Damaged nerves in the left arm, depression, fatigue, hypertension, bulging discs in the neck, torn rotator cuff, shoulder surgery, numbness in both arms.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her clai m for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients h ave the right to contes t a department decision affecting elig ibility or benefit levels whenev er it is believed that the decis ion is incorrect. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to deter mine disability . Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impair ments do not signific antly limit physica I or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disab ility does not exist. Age, education and work ex perience will not be c onsidered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings wh ich demonstrate a medical im pairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include –

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood press ure, X-rays);
- Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on it s signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing bas ic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

(1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;

- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment ; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidenc e relevant to the claim, including m edical opinions, is rev iewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical s ource finding t hat an individual is "d isabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability e xists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

### 2013-30742/LYL

- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to t he guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in subs tantial gainful activity and has n ot worked since **2011**. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The subjective and objective medical evidence on the record indicates Claimant testified on the rec ord that he lives wit h a in an and he is single with no children under 18 and no income. Claimant does receive food assistance program benefits. Claimant does have a and drives one time per week to the store. Claimant does cook one time per day and c ooks things like meat and soup Claimant does grocery shop two times per month with no help needed. Claimant does clean, sweep and do dishes, shovel snow and some gardening. He fishes and hikes a s a hobby and he watches television 4 to 5 hours per day. Claimant testified he can stand for 30 minutes at a time and he c an sit for one hour at a time. He can walk a half a mile and is able to squat, bend at the waist, show er and dress himself. Claimant stated that his level of pain on a scale from 1 to 10 without medication is an eight and with medication is a two; he takes aleve for pain medication. Claimant stated that he is righthanded that he has numbress in his hands and arms. Claimant stated that his right ankle swell that was a repaired Achilles tendon in 2012. Claim ant testified that the heaviest weight he can carry is 10 pounds that he smokes one time per day.

A	dated	showed the claimant was in a
in 2006 a	nd he has had s ome	problems wit h his left arm since then,
page 206. He was on no medicines except over-the-counter anal gesics. He reported		
tre	eatment also in t	he 1980s, page 207. His hygiene was

adequate. He was ov erly spontaneous and intermittently this and pivoted in speech production to the point of giving answers before the questions we re finished and he interrupted the examiner. He was vague and poorly organized and had notable pressure of speech. There were no halluc inations, delusions or related thought pathology, page 210. He seemed depressed, irritable, and angry and had somew hat labile affect. He did not seem friendly, page 211. Diagnoses included mood disorder with major depressive features and disinhibit ion over anger, chronic pain disor der associated wit psychological factors and general medic al conditions, stress exacerbating somatic features, cognitive disor der NOS, lear ning disor der. cannabis dependency and personality disorder, page 212. T he claimant was admitted Au gust 9, 2012 with acut e on chronic neck pain. A CT an d MRI of the cervical s pine were performed and did not show any acute findings, page 55. On examination he had paraspinal and spinal tenderness of C4, C5 and C3 area, page 51. The lateral upper extremity and lower extremity power was 5/5. S ensation was equal on both sides, page 52 the claimant underwent of the left ar m on , pages B2 and B3. The physical examination on June 12, 2013 reported surgical incisions were healed. He had limited range of motion of the left shoulder, page C1. Per 20 CF R 416-1909 claimant left shoulder rotator cuff repair is not expected to last for a continuous period of 12 months and is expected to improve postoperatively.

burden of proof of establis hing that he has a severely At Step 2, claimant has the restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Claimant has reports of pain in multiple areas of his body; however, there are no corresponding clinic al findings that suppor t the reports of symptoms and limitations made by the claimant. There are no labor atory or x-ray findings listed in the file whic h support claimant's contention of disability. The clinical impre ssion is that claimant is **stable**. There is no medical finding that c laimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is c onsistent with a deteriorating c ondition. In short, claimant has restricted himself from tasks associated with occupational func tioning based upon his reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has me t the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Admini strative Law Judge finds th at the medical record is insufficient to establish that claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations ar e assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental di sorders (descriptions of restrict ions of activities of daily living, social functioning; c oncentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerat e increased mental demands associated wit h com petitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

There is insufficient objective medical/ps ychiatric e vidence in the record indicatin g claimant s uffers severe mental limitations . There is **no** mental resid ual functional capacity assessment in the record. There is in sufficient evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it w ould prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was or iented to time, person and plac e during the

hearing. Claimant was able to answer all of the questi ons at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The evidentiar y record is insufficient to find that claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impair ment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has failed to meet his burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at thi s step based upon his failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidenc e of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny him again at Step 4 based upon hi s ability to perform his past relevant work. There is no ev idence upon which this Admin istrative Law Judge c ould base a finding that claimant is unable to perform work in which he has engaged in, in the past. Therefore, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, he would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequentia levaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more t han 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, le dgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one whic h involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objecti ve medical evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment or that he is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of him. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and he should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Claimant has failed to pr ovide the necessary objective m edical evidence to establish that he has a severe impairment or combination of im pairments which prevent him from performing any level of work for a period of 12 mont hs. The claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates that he should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

There is insufficient objective medical/ps vchiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. Claimant was oriented to time, person and plac e during the hearing. Claimant's c omplaints of pain, while pr ofound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to claimant's ability to perform work. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establis h that claimant has no residual functional capacity. Clai mant is dis gualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, an individual (age with a and an unskilled work history who is limited to light work is not considered disabled.

The department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains the following policy s tatements and instructions for casework ers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be dis abled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable t o work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for Stat e Disability Assistance benefits either

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that claimant was not eligible to receive Medi cal As sistance and/or State Disability Assistance.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the depar tment has appropriately establis hed on the record that i t was acting in compliance wit h department policy when it deni ed claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medica I Assistance and Stat e Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with his impairments. The department ent has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

2013-30742/LYL

Accordingly, the department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

<u>/s/</u>

Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/28/13

Date Mailed: 8/30/13

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

Landis

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evid ence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

### 2013-30742/LYL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### LYL/tb

