## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEI ARTIMERT OF TR	SINAN SERVICES		
IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-26577 2006 May 22, 2013 Wayne (82)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Administrative Law Judge Michael Bennanincluded Claimant's Authorized Representation of the Department of Human Services (Department and Table 1). Family Independent	est for a hearing.  2013, from Detro e. Participants on ntative, ant did not appear. F artment) included	After due notice, a it, Michigan, before behalf of Claimant	
On July 2, 2013, the case was reassigned to A preparation of a decision and order.	Administrative Law Ju	dge Jan Leventer for	
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			

1. Claimant  $\boxtimes$  applied for benefits  $\square$  received benefits for:

	<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).	
2.	On January 15, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to a determination that Claimant failed to present verification of assets.		
3.	. On January 15, 2013, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Renotice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.	mant	
4.	On January 22, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. The customer for her or his part must cooperate with all Department requests for necessary information. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BEM) 105 (2013).

In this case a Social Security information data sheet dated October 19, 2012, indicates that Claimant has a Representative Payee, Brend (sic) Box, 19342 Avon, Detroit, MI. Dept. Exh. 2, p. 2. Ms. or Mr. Box has not been identified.

Also, although Claimant's Authorized Representative stated she faxed information to the Department on November 28, 2012, she could not explain why she then requested an extension to submit information on December 19, 2012. Dept. Exh. 5.

Having considered this evidence and all of the evidence in the case in its entirety, it is found and determined that the Claimant failed to cooperate with the Department's request for information regarding assets. Without the necessary information, the Department cannot do its job of determining eligibility, providing benefits, and protecting client rights. The Claimant's Authorized Representative presented insufficient and

confusing evidence as to the Claimant's assets, and therefore the Department was correct in denying the application for lack of information regarding assets. BAM 105. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\boxtimes$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. Jan ( soe. Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: August 13, 2013

Date Mailed: August 20, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

cc: