STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2013-16414
Issue No.:	2009
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	April 9, 2013
County:	Wayne-18

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admi nistrative Law Judge upon Claim ant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400. 9 and 400.37, which gov ern the administrative hearing and appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on April 9, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of H uman Services (D epartment) included Medical Contact Worker

ISSUE

Did the department properly det ermined Claimant's disability status for Medicaid (MA)/Retro-MA eligibility purposes?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, bas ed upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On August 23, 2012, Claimant applied for MA/Retro-MA benefits.
- 2. On November 15, 2012, the depar tment's State Hearing Rev iew Team (SHRT) issued a prehearing denial of Claimant's application.
- 3. Claimant promptly requested a hearing, at which, the presiding Administrative Law Judge granted Claimant's request for a record extension to submit updated examination and treatment documents.
- 4. These doc uments were submitted to SHRT for a post-hearing review.

5. On July 26, 2013, SHRT revers ed its earlier denial of Claimant's disputed MA/Retro-MA applic ation based on a Fully Favor able Social Security Decision, with an established on- set date of 03/02/09.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is es tablished by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400. 105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administra tive Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

In the present case, SHRT reversed its ear lier finding of lack of d isability based on the SSA's disability allowance, received while Claimant's appeal was pending, currently establishing Claimant is disabled and has been disabled at all times relevant to her MA/Retro-MA application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the departm ent, through SHRT, properly determined Claimant's disa bility status upon cons ideration of the Fully Favorable Social Security Disability decision reviewed for the first time after the hearing.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **AFFIRMED**, and it is Ordered that:

- 1. The department shall approve MA/Retro-MA benefits effective May, 2012, for Claimant as long as she is otherwise eligible to receive them.
- 2. Departmental rev iew of Cla imant's medical condition is not necessary as long as her SSA disability status continues.

It is SO ORDERED.

ichi Z.

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 5, 2013

Date Mailed: August 5, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 day s of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Admi nistrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decisi on and Order or, if a time ly request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is ne wly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to addres s other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the loc al DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/las

