STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN THE MATTER OF: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 201354744 1038; 3000; 2000 July 25, 2013 Jackson | | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris | | | | |
| HEARING DECISION | | | | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 25, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager, worker | | | | |
| <u>ISSUE</u> | | | | |
| Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for: | | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? | | sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? | | |
| FINDINGS OF FACT | | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact: | | | | |
| . Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received benefits for: | | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA). | State Disability A | ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC). | | |
| 2. On July 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ clos | sed Claimant's FIP c | ase | | |

due to non-compliance with employment related activities.

| 3. | On May 28, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. FIP closure. | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 4. | On June 21, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ FIP closure. | | | |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW | | | | |
| | epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). | | | |
| Re 42 Ag thr | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program sective October 1, 1996. | | | |
| pro im Re Ag | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015. | | | |
| Se Th Ag | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105. | | | |
| | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. | | | |
| for Se pro | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180. | | | |
| an 19 Th an | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. | | | |

At the onset of the hearing, the uncontested testimony was that the Claimant's MA and FAP have not been at all negatively impacted. The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, 1999 AC, R 400.901 through Rule 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because a claim for assistance is denied or is not acted upon with reasonable promptness, and to any recipient who is aggrieved by a Department action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance. Rule 400.903(1). Therefore, even though the Claimant mark such on her hearing request, the Claimant's FAP and MA requests for hearing are hereby dismissed.

It is not contested that the Claimant did not attend the PATH program after she was sent a DHS-4785, PATH Appointment Notice to do so. The Claimant testified that she could not make her good cause appointment scheduled for June 4, 2013, so she telephoned the JET worker that morning and she never heard from the JET worker again. She was going to request another DHS-54-E Medical Needs form, as she used the one the Department sent to her on May 2, 2013 for her triage on April 23, 2013. The Administrative Law Judge asked how that could be when the triage occurred 9 days before the form was even sent to her and the Claimant replied that she had her back date it. The JET worker testified that she did call the Claimant back on the afternoon of June 4, 2013 and the Claimant stated that she did not want to bring her into the local office for the triage. The Claimant asserted to the JET worker, and at hearing, that she simply could not go to PATH because of her PTSD, but to this day has not submitted verification of such. The Claimant testified she left a message on the morning of June 4, 2013 indicating that she needed another form and her call was not returned.

The Administrative Law Judge find that the JET worker's testimony is credible and persuasive as it is logical and consistent with other evidence in the record and as the Claimant's testimony is illogical and spurious. As such, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the Claimant was sent at DHS-54-E, Medical Needs form on May 2, 2013. The Claimant's testimony that she used this form for her triage 9 days previously is found to be less than credible and it is therefore concluded that the Claimant had a DHS-54-E, Medical Needs form. It is also concluded that the Claimant did not have this form completed and did not have it submitted to the Department. To this day, the Claimant has submitted no verification of any physical condition which would prohibit her from attending a PATH appointment. The Administrative Law Judge therefore concludes that the Department properly determined that the Claimant had no good cause for her non-compliance.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (2013) p. 6, provides that the penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP case closure. The Administrative Law Judge therefore concludes that when the Department took action to close the Claimant's FIP case, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy.

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions Judge concludes that the Department | of Law the Administrative Law | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | y denied Claimant's application y closed Claimant's case | | | |
| for: AMP SIP FAP MA SDA CDC. | | | | |
| DECISION AND ORDER | | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Fir of Law finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly. | | | | |
| Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED. | MA SDA CDC decision | | | |
| | | | | |
| <u>/s</u> | s/ Susanne E. Harris | | | |
| | Administrative Law Judge | | | |
| | For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services | | | |
| Date Signed: <u>7/29/13</u> | | | | |

Date Mailed: 7/30/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201354744/SEH

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

