

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201347949
Issue No.: [REDACTED]
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: July 18, 2013
County: Kalamazoo

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on July 18, 2013. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department was represented by [REDACTED].

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services properly deny Claimant's April 29, 2013 application for Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On April 29, 2013, Claimant submitted an application for Medical Assistance (MA).
- (2) On May 2, 2013, Claimant was sent a Verification Checklist (DHS Form 3503) requesting verification of his banking account. The verification was due on May 13, 2013.
- (3) On May 14, 2013, the Department case worker had not received the verification. Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which stated his application was denied.
- (4) On May 20, 2013, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

At the hearing Claimant testified that he received the Verification Checklist (DHS Form 3503) and sent his used the self-addressed postage paid envelope that came with it to mail in his bank statement. Claimant's testimony is found credible.

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. *Stacey v Sankovich*, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); *Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270 (1976).

Once the verification was received by the Department, Claimant had fulfilled his requirement to submit the required verification. ES Piper testified that she did not receive the bank statement. Her testimony is also found credible. It is not difficult to believe that the verification could be received by the Department but not end up at ES Piper's desk.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services DID NOT properly deny Claimant's April 29, 2013 application for Medical Assistance (MA).

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are **REVERSED**.

It is further ORDERED that Claimant's April 29, 2013 application be reinstated and processed in accordance with Department policy.

/s/ _____
Gary F. Heisler
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 07/26/2013

Date Mailed: 07/29/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

GFH/sw

cc:

