STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEL ARTIMENT OF HOMAN CERVICES			
IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-54853 3014 July 25, 2013 St. Joseph	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, following Claimant's Request for Hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, July 25, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and his friend, Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included, Melody Fuller, APSup and, Cheryl Marthaller, ES.			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ (CDC)?	Adult Medical Ass State Disability A Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
 Claimant			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	•	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). Inment and Care	

(CDC).

2.	On May 3, 2013, the Department \boxtimes denied Claimant's application \square closed Claimant's case due to the Claimant's children were already on their Mother's FAP case.
3.	On June 21, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Pe 10 Inc AC	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the rsonal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 4-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family dependence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 C, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children DC) program effective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the Federal Regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se (Cl	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations FR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family dependence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et q., and MCL 400.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Hu the	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial sistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of man Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers a SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 ough Rule 400.3180.
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 96. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Date Mailed: 07/31/2013

Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Claimant applied for FAP benefits for himself and his children on April 29, 2013. The Claimant's application was denied because the Claimant's children are already on their mother's application. Based on Department's policy in BEM 212, the parent who applies first and is approved first will receive benefits for the children. Therefore the Department correctly denied the Claimant's application for FAP benefits for his children because they were already on the children's mother's FAP case.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
<u>/s/</u>
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services Date Signed: 07/30/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of

the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision;
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant;
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

