STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEI ARTIMERT OF T	OMAN CENTICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013 54460 3015, 3014 July 22, 2013 Wayne (19)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. F	erris	
HEARING D	DECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's required telephone hearing was held on July 22, 2013 behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. P Human Services (Department) included Supervisor, and Example 1988.	uest for a hearing. 3, from Detroit, Michig articipants on behalf o	After due notice, a gan. Participants on
<u>ISSU</u>	<u>JE</u>	
Due to excess income, did the Department p ⊠ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant		laimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS (OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as materi	•	rial, and substantial
1. Claimant applied for benefits for:	$\!$	r:

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

Family Independence Program (FIP).
Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On July 1, 2013, the Department
3.	On June 17, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.
4.	On June 21, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the
	☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
cre mo Ca ind un Cla red the	ditionally, during the hearing the Department caseworker assigned to this case edibly testified that she specifically inquired of the Claimant whether he and his other bought and prepared food together and that Claimant responded "yes". The iseworker sought clarification because the statement signed by Claimant's mother licated that he paid her \$500 per month rent and that food was not included and was clear regarding whether the Claimant bought and prepared food together. The aimant testified that he did not recall the conversation. Based upon the response beived by the caseworker at the time of the interview, the Department correctly closed at Claimant's FAP case due to excess income as the Department correctly included at Claimant's mother in the FAP group and thus was required to also include her some. BEM 212 pp. 5 (11/20/12)
sta	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ited on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess come, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
	☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decisions \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Ja m. Senis
Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Directo Department of Human Service

Date Signed: July 30, 2013

Date Mailed: July 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

2013-54460/LMF

