STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-54419 2006, 3008 July 22, 2013 Wayne (82-35)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane		
HEARING DECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 22, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:		
		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:		
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☒MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.		

2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by May 1, 2013.

 3. On June 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's FAP case. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits .
4. On July 1, 2013, the Department closed the claimant's MA.
 5. On June 15, 2013, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. Closure of Claimant's MA case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
 6. On June 20, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS F 400.3001-3015
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
As part of a redetermination of Claimant's benefits, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination packet on April 15, 2013, in preparation for a telephone interview to be held May 1, 2013.

Claimant failed to provide the redetermination information in a timely manner. The Department properly sent a notice of its pending negative action on Claimant's MA case but did not provide documentation of a notice sent concerning the closing of the claimant's FAP.

Department policy states:

NOTICE OF CASE ACTIONS

All Programs

Upon certification of eligibility results, Bridges automatically notifies the client in writing of positive and negative actions by generating the appropriate notice of case action. The notice of case action is printed and mailed centrally from the consolidated print center. (BAM 220, November, 2012)

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly closed Claimant's MA case and improperly closed the claimant's FAP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions
of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
did act properly relative to Claimant's MA case and

did not act properly relative to Claimant's FAP case.

Accordingly, the Department's MA decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED and the Department's FAP decision is \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate the reinstatement of Claimant's FAP back to its closing on June 1, 2013, and supplement for any missed benefits.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 30, 2013

Date Mailed: July 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

2013-54419/MJB

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

