## **STATE OF MICHIGAN** MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN	T	HF	М	ΔΤ	TFR	OF:
117			IVI	$\neg$	$I \vdash I \setminus$	. UI .

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-54362 3002 July 25, 2013 Macomb #12
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G.	Fahie	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37, following Claimant's Requtelephone hearing was held on Thursday, Jerticipants on behalf of Claimant included to Department of Human Services (Departmer Rashawn Raines, ES.	uest for Hearing. July 25, 2013 from the Claimant. Partic	After due notice, a Lansing, Michigan. cipants on behalf of
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department pro $\square$ close Claimant's case $\boxtimes$ reduce Claimant's		laimant's application
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on th evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒</li> </ol>	received benefits fo	r:
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
<ol> <li>On May 31, 2013, the Department ☐ de Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's be unemployed and receiving unemployment co</li> </ol>	enefits due to the	Claimant becoming

3. On May 31, 2013, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial ☐ closure ☐ reduction.

4. On June 21, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application ☐ closure of the case ☐ reduction of benefits.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the Federal Regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.
Additionally, the Claimant was a recipient of UBC benefits and child support benefits of per month. Department Exhibit 3-4.
As a result of excess income, the Claimant had a decrease in FAP benefits. After deductions from her gross income of of state of standard deduction for an adjusted gross income of the claimant was given a total shelter deduction of the claimant was given an adjusted excess shelter deduction of the with a total shelter deduction of the claimant was given an adjusted excess shelter deduction of the with a total shelter

deduction of many, minus of adjusted gross income of many. The Claimant had a net income of which was the adjusted gross income of many, minus the excess shelter deduction of many. With a net income of many, the Claimant qualified with a household group size of for a maximum benefit of many, plus in economic recovery minus of net income of many resulting in a net benefit amount of Department Exhibit 6-7, and 12.					
The Department has met its burden that the Claimant had a decrease in FAP benefits to as a result of unearned income. BEM 530 and 255.					
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly					
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☑ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>					
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \text{did act properly}  \text{did not act properly}.					
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:					
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services  Date Signed: 07/30/2013					

Date Mailed: <u>07/31/2013</u>

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision;
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant;
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/pw

