STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

		Ш.

Reg. No.: 2013-54200

Issue No.: 2001

Case No.: Hearing Date:

Hearing Date: July 22, 2013 County: Wayne (82-76)

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
 State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 22, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claim for:	nant's application close Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☑ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
<u>FINDINGS</u>	OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as mate	
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receive	ved benefits for:

 On May 30, 2013, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to AMP being closed to new applicants. 				
 On May 30, 2013, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. 				
 On June 11, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
\boxtimes The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .				
Claimant applied for Food Assistance and AMP on May 28, 2013.				
At the time of Claimant's application, the AMP program was closed to new applicants.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
 ☑ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case 				
for: 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🗌 FAP 🖂 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC.				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act properly.				
Accordingly, the Department's \(\subseteq \text{AMP} \subseteq \text{FIP} \subseteq \text{FAP} \(\subseteq \text{MA} \subseteq \text{SDA} \subseteq \text{CDC} decision is \(\subseteq \text{AFFIRMED} \subseteq \text{REVERSED} for the reasons stated on the record.				
ann				
Michael J. Bennane				
Administrative Law Judge				
for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services				
Department of Human Services				

Date Signed: July 30, 2013

Date Mailed: July 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MBJ/pf

