STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-46156

Issue No.: 1082

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 24, 2013 County: Wayne (82-17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing received by the Department of Human Services (Department) on May 7, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 22, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant has exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit on Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits and was not eligible for an exception.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- On February 10, 2012, the Department notified Claimant that her FIP case would close effective March 1, 2013, because she had exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit on receipt of FIP assistance.
- 3. On May 7, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's Request for Hearing, disputing the Department's action concerning her FIP case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

FIP was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The FIP benefit program is not an entitlement. BEM 234 (January 1, 2013), p. 1. Under the federal FIP time limit, individuals are not eligible for continued FIP benefits once they receive a cumulative total of 60 months of FIP benefits, unless the individual was approved for FIP benefits as of January 9, 2013, **and** was exempt from participation in the Partnership.Accountability.Training.Hope. (PATH) program for domestic violence, establishing incapacity, incapacitated more than 90 days, aged 65 or older, or caring for a spouse or child with disabilities. BEM 234 (January 1, 2013), p. 1; MCL 400.57a (4); Bridges Federal Time Limit Interim Bulletin (BPB) 2013-006 (March 1, 2013), p. 1. The federal limit count begins October 1996. BEM 234, p. 1.

In this case, the Department presented documentation showing that, as of September 2011, Claimant had received 71 months of federally funded FIP benefits. Claimant did not dispute that she had received FIP for 71 months. The Department did not present any documentation concerning Claimant's PATH status in January 2013 to show her participation status as of January 9, 2013. However, the testimony at the hearing established that Claimant had been deferred from the PATH program due to domestic violence issues in late 2012, but her domestic violence deferral had ended and she had been referred back to PATH in January 2013. Therefore, even if Claimant were exempt from participation in the PATH program on January 9, 2013, because of domestic violence issues, the deferral had ended by the time the Department sent Claimant the February 10, 2013, Notice of Case Action closing her FIP case effective March 1, 2013. Thus, Claimant was not eligible for an exception to the federal time limit due to a deferral from participation in PATH based on domestic violence.

Further, while Claimant also testified that she had a child with disabilities in her home, she acknowledged that the child was in school during the day. Because Claimant was not needed in the home to care for the child, she would not be eligible for a PATH deferral to care for her disabled child. See BEM 228 (January 2013), pp. 3-4.

Because Claimant's testimony establishes that she was not deferred from participation in the PATH program as of January 9, 2013, or lost her deferral shortly thereafter, Claimant was not eligible for a federal exception to the 60-month federal time limit. Thus, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case for exceeding the federal time limit for receipt of FIP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case.

Accordingly, the Department's FIP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 30, 2013

Date Mailed: July 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

2013-46156ACE

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/pf

