STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-44613

Issue No.: 2021

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 10, 2013

County: Oakland DHS (03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's reques to for a hearing growth and the following Claimant's reques to for a hearing growth and the following Claimant's reques to for a hearing growth and the following Claimant's reques to for a hearing growth and the following Claimant's reques to form the form of the form of the following Claimant's author is author is a service to form the following Claimant's son, as Claimant's author is author is described by the following Claimant's reques to form the form of the following Claimant's reques to form the form of the form of the following Claimant's reques to form the form of the f

ISSUE

The issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility due to excess assets.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substa ntial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing Medicaid recipient.
- Claimant was a married individual.
- 3. In 1/2013, Claimant became a resident of a long-term care (LTC) facility.
- Claimant's spouse was also a resident of an LTC facility.
- 5. Claimant was the owner of whole life insurance with a c ash value of

- 6. On 4/23/13, DHS mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing Cla imant of MA benefit termination, effective 6/2013, due to excess assets.
- 7. On 4/29/13, Claimant's AHR requested a hearing to dispute the MA ben efit termination.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant's AHR requested a hearing to dispute a termination of Medicaid eligibility. It was not disputed that the termination was due to excess assets.

It was not disputed that Claimant, as an aged and/or disabled individual, was potentially eligible only for SSI-related MA benefits. The SSI-related MA category asset limit is \$2,000 for a benefit group of one. BEM 400 (1/2013), p. 5. For MA benefits, asset eligibility exists when the asset group's coun table assets are less than, or equal to, the applicable asset limit at least one day during the month being tested. *Id.*, p. 5.

It was not disputed that Claimant was the owner of a whole life insu rance policy. It was not disputed that the cash surrender value of the life in surance policy was \$22,298.67, substantially more than the asset limit for SS I-related MA benefits. It is found that DHS properly terminated Claimant's MA benefit eligibility due to excess assets.

Claimant's AHR's hearing reques t noted that Claimant received M edicaid for a len gthy time and that DHS was aware of the life insurance policy during her period of Medicaid eligibility. Thus, Claimant's AHR was under standably perplexed why DHS did not en d Claimant's Medicaid eligibility sooner. DHS does not have to justify a benefit determination in comparison with a past bene fit decision. Nevertheless, DHS explained that Claimant's past M edicaid eligibility exempted the life insurance because Claimant was considered a community spouse until the time she required long-term care.

It should also be noted that CI aimant is not permanently b anned from MA eligibility. Claimant's AHR testified t hat the life ins urance poli cy was c ashed and spent on irrevocable funeral contracts for Claimant and her spouse. Claimant's AHR also testified that he reapplied for MA benefit s. Thus, it is possible that Cla imant's MA eligibility will suffer no lapse in M edicaid coverage after DHS processes the recently submitted application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, finds that DHS properly terminated Claimant's MA benefit eligibility, effective 6/2013. The actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED.

Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/29/2013

Date Mailed: 7/29/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailin g date of this Decision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing o reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

cc: