STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN	TH	ИΔ	тт	ER	\mathbf{O}	F٠
117	1 1	Ⅵ		ᇚ	·	г.

IN	THE MATTER OF:					
		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-41370 2001 July 24, 2013 Kent			
ΑC	MINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fa	ahie				
	HEARING DEC	ISION				
an tel Pa	is matter is before the undersigned Administra d MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request ephone hearing was held on Wednesday, J rticipants on behalf of Claimant included the epartment of Human Services (Department) inc	for a hearing. uly 24, 2013 fron e Claimant. Parti	After due notice, an Lansing, Michigan cipants on behalf o			
	ISSUE					
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
	Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?				
	FINDINGS OF	FACT				
	e Administrative Law Judge, based on the idence on the whole record, finds as material fa		erial, and substantia			
1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits for: ☐ r	eceived benefits fo	or:			
	Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			
2.	On April 4, 2013, the Department \boxtimes der Claimant's case \square reduced Claimant's bene					
3.	On April 4, 2013, the Department sent 🖂 Cl	aimant 🗌 Cla	imant's Authorized			

4. On April 8, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \square reduction of benefits.

Representative (AR) notice of the 🖂 denial 🗌 closure

reduction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg. The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective 3131. October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MCL 400.105. ☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015. Additionally, the Claimant applied for AMP on April 1, 2013. Department Exhibit 2-12. The Claimant had earned income from employment of and for the month of March 2013 where she was paid every two weeks. Department Exhibit 13-14. The is divided by 2 then multiplied by 2.15 Claimant's monthly earned income of to get the total monthly income of _____. The Claimant had a net earned income of which was the total earned income of minus the plus earned income deduction of The Claimant failed the AMP income test because the AMP income limit is and the Claimant's net income was Department Exhibit 15.

During the hearing, the Claimant testifed that she was a substitute teacher. As a result, her assignments vary and so does her income. The Department is required to use the Claimant's earned income from the past 30 days, which made her over income. The Claimant did not have an assignment in April 2013, but the AMP program was closed effective April 30, 2013.

The Department has met its burden when it correctly determined that the Claimant was excess income for AMP. BAM 105, 110, 115, 600, and 130. BEM 640, 500, and 501.

excess income for AMP. BAM 105, 110, 115, 600, and 130. BEM 640, 500, and 501.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \square improperly
 ☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for: 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🗌 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
Accordingly, the Department's 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🔲 FAP 🔲 MA 🔲 SDA 🔲 CDC decision is 🔀 AFFIRMED 🔲 REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
/ <u>s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director

Date Signed: 08/01/2013

Date Mailed: <u>08/02/2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

Department of Human Services

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision; or
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant; or
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

