# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201341347

Issue No.: 6027

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 17, 2013 County: Wayne DHS (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 17, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included Specialist.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

The issue is whether DHS properly processed Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) application.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 11/21/12, Claimant applied for CDC benefits.
- 2. On 4/4/13, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the failure by DHS to process Claimant's application.
- As of the date of hearing, DHS had not yet processed Claimant's CDC application.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant initially was going to withdraw her hearing request because she conceded that she no longer has a need for CDC benefits. Claimant reconsidered a hearing withdrawal because she believed that DHS failed to process her CDC eligibility from a period when she had a need for CDC benefits. Claimant's dispute was that DHS failed to process her CDC application dated 11/21/12.

DHS initially presented testimony that Claimant was denied CDC based on a failure by Claimant to submit a CDC provider document and/or a failure by Claimant's selected provider to complete provider training. During the hearing, DHS was given an opportunity to verify the denial reason on the Notice of Case Action. DHS conceded that a Notice of Case Action was never sent. The only logical conclusion that can be drawn is that DHS never processed Claimant's CDC application.

DHS has certain timeframes in which applications should be processed; the timeframes are referred to as standards of promptness. The standard of promptness for processing CDC applications is 45 days. BAM 115 (11/2012), p. 12. Based on Claimant's application date and date of hearing, DHS substantially exceeded the standard of promptness. Accordingly, the DHS failure to process Claimant's CDC application was improper.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS improperly failed to process Claimant's CDC application. It is ordered that DHS process Claimant's CDC application dated 11/21/12. The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Christin Dordock

Date Signed: 7/31/2013

Date Mailed: 7/31/2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CG/hw

