STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-30503 Issue No.: Case No.: July 17, 2013 Hearing Date: County: Tuscola

2021

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, following Claimant's Request for Hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, July 17, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant's son, Authorized Representative, and Power of Attorney, . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included, Rolando Gomez, ES.

ISSUE

Due to excess assets, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny the Claimant's application Close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

- Food Assistance Program (FAP)?
- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
- State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
- State Emergency Relief (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant \boxtimes applied for benefits \square received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- State Emergency Relief (SER).

- 2. Due to excess assets, on June 19, 2012, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application. □ closed Claimant's case.
- On June 19, 2012, the Department sent
 Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
- 4. On September 6, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. □ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, the Claimant applied for MA Long Term Care (LTC) on March 30, 2012. Department Exhibit 11-16. The Department caseworker denied the Claimant's application because she was over asset as a result of an annuity from

that had a cash surrender value of

. Department

Exhibit 2. The Claimant failed the MA asset test where her assets of exceeded the asset limit of the claimant's application was denied and notice sent because of excess assets on June 19, 2012. Department Exhibit 5-10.

The Claimant reapplied for MA on July 24, 2012, with a retro-active MA application for April, May, and June 2012. Department Exhibit 20-31. The annuity had been cashed in on July 2, 2012. Department Exhibit 18-19. The Claimant was approved for MA LTC from July 2012 forward, but denied as a result of excess assets from March 2012 through June 2012.

During the hearing, the Claimant's son stated that if he had known that the annuity needed to have been cashed in that he would have done it sooner. However, he was unable to do that sooner because he was not notified that the annuity was an issue until June 2012. As a result, the Claimant lost MA eligibility for March 2012 through June 2012. Although the Department's Standard of Promptness (SOP) for these cases is 45 days, the application was not processed until June 19, 2012. The Department is given additional time beyond their SOP because of the volume of cases and the number of Department caseworkers available to process the applications. In addition, the Department MA policy for eligibility is available on line or "at request" in the local office.

Therefore, the Department properly, if not timely, determined that the Claimant was excess assets for MA for March 2012, through June 2012, and approved MA for July 2012, forward. BEM 400. BAM 105, 110, and 115.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

\boxtimes properly denied Claimant's application	improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square FAP \square SER.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.

ACCORDINGLY, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square FAP \square SER decision is \boxtimes **AFFIRMED** \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

/s/_

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 07/30/2013

Date Mailed: 07/31/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision;
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant;
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

