STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg No.: 2013-18872 Issue No.: 2009 Case No.: Hearing Date: March 11, 2013 Wayne County DHS (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Monday, March 11, 2013. The Claimant appeared and testified. ______ appeared as the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR). ______ appeared as the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR). _______, Medical Contact Worker, appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

After the conclusion of the hearing and before the remainder of the new evidence was received from the Claimant's AHR and Department so that it could be submitted to the State Hearing Review Team, the Claimant's AHR provided a fully favorable disability determination from the Social Security Administration ("SSA") showing a disability onset date of May 2010.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") and State Disability Assistance ("SDA") benefit programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant submitted an application on November 24, 2010 for public assistance seeking MA-P and retro MA–P retro to August 2010.

- 2. On September 24, 2012, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled.
- 3. The Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination on September 26, 2012.
- 4. The Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing on December 17, 2012.
- 5. On February 11, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled.
- Subsequently, the SSA found the Claimant disabled with a disability onset date of May 22, 2010. (See attached SSA RSDI determination letter dated May 27, 2013.)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

A previously denied MA application is treated as a pending application when MRT determines the Claimant was not disabled and subsequently, the SSA determines that the Claimant is entitled to RSDI based on his/her disability/blindness for some, or all, of the time covered by the denied MA application. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 260 2011. All eligibility factors must be met for each month MA is authorized. BEM 260

In this case, the SSA approved the Claimant for social security benefits with the disability onset date of May 22, 2010. Based on the favorable SSA determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability pursuant to BEM 260.

In this case, the Claimant is found disabled for purposes of the MA-P program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled for purposes of the MA-P benefit program.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination that the Claimant was not disabled is REVERSED.
- 2. The Department shall initiate processing of (if not previously done so) the November 24, 2010 application, to include all applicable retroactive months (August 2010), to determine if all other nonmedical criteria are met and inform the Claimant of the determination in accordance with department policy.

~ m. Jenis

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 1, 2013

Date Mailed: August 1, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

2013-18872/LMF

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

