STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN THE MATTER OF: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 201353691 3015 July 18, 2013 Kent | | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris | | | | |
| HEARING DEC | CISION | | | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on July 18, 2013, frobehalf of Claimant included Pathone Human Services (Department) included Family and Eligibility Specialist, | t for a hearing. rom Lansing, Michio articipants on beha | After due notice, a gan. Participants on If of De <u>partment of</u> | | |
| <u>ISSUE</u> | | | | |
| Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for: | | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ | | sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? | | |
| FINDINGS OF | FACT | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material f | • | rial, and substantial | | |
| 1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received | benefits for: | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). | | ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC). | | |
| 2. On July 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ close | ed Claimant's case | | | |

due to excess income.

| 3. | On June 4, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. |
|-----------------------|---|
| 4. | On June 14, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| | epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). |
| Re 42 Ag thr | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996. |
| pro im Re Ag | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015. |
| Se Th Ag | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 10.105. |
| | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is lministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. |
| for Se pro | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 10.3180. |
| an 19 Th an | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE of XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 190, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 of 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 10.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. |

| The Department in this case did not issue the Claimant the required forms to have the Claimant verify his stopped employment with Dependable cleaning and did count income from that job in the Claimant's FAP budget. The Claimant did not contest the amounts of income used in the FAP budget from his job at testified that he gets paid twice a month. Per Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 505 (2010) p. 6, amounts received twice a month are to be added together to determine prospected monthly income. In this case, that amount would be Per RFT 250, the monthly gross income limit to be eligible for FAP for a group size of one is Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that when the Department determined that the Claimant had excess income to be eligible for FAP, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy. | |
|---|--|
| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC. | |
| DECISION AND ORDER | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly. \square did not act properly. | |
| Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED. | |
| Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: 7/19/13 Date Mailed: 7/19/13 | |
| NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or | |

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

201353691/SEH

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

cc: