### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2013Issue No.:3009Case No.:Image: Case No.:Hearing Date:JulyCounty:DHS

201353232 3009

July 18, 2013 DHS-MI-Cap

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 18, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and her Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly  $\Box$  deny Claimant's application  $\boxtimes$  close Claimant's case for:

Х

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).
- On May 1, 2013, the Department
  denied Claimant's application
  due to a criminal justice disqualification.

- 4. On June 6, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

	The	Adult	Medical	Program	(AMP)	is	established	by	42	USC	1315,	and	is
adn	niniste	ered by	/ the Depa	artment pu	irsuant f	to N	1CL 400.10, e	et se	q.				

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

### 201353232/SEH

Additionally, Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 811 (2013) p. 1 provides that Michigan State Police (MSP) identifies Claimants or group members who are currently fugitive felons on a monthly basis. MSP also identifies when the Claimant or group member is no longer a fugitive felon on a daily basis. This is an automated process in Bridges which identifies an exact match based on first name, last name, date of birth, social security number and gender. The monthly match will set to close any Claimant's identified as a fugitive felon, and will set to disqualify any group members identified as a fugitive felon. When the Bridges computer system sets a Claimant's case to close, a DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action, will be generated. This notice will inform the Claimant that they, or a group member, have a criminal justice disqualification showing, and to go to a local law enforcement agency to resolve the issue. This notice is in evidence as Department's Exhibit 1.

The Claimant's AHR testified that the Claimant is mentally ill and would not be able to comprehend any discussion occurring at the hearing. The Claimant's AHR did not contest that the Claimant has an outstanding felony warrant, but rather he inquired about what he had to do to resolve the situation so that the Claimant could again be eligible for FAP. As such, the Administrative Law Judge determines that when the Department took action to close the Claimant's FAP case for a criminal justice disqualification of fugitive felon, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact ar	nd Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons
stated on the record, the Administrative I	aw Judge concludes that the Department
properly denied Claimant's application	improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	improperly closed Claimant's case for:
AMP I FIP FAP MA SDA (	CDC.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly.  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  **AFFIRMED**  $\square$  REVERSED.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/19/13

Date Mailed: 7/19/13

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### SEH/tb

