STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201353188 July 18, 2013 SSPC-WEST	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully			
HEARING DEC	ISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 18, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included			
ISSUE			
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for:			
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	_	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF	FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received by	enefits for:		
	Adult Medical As	sistance (AMP).	

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).
Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On May 30, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant notice that it had
	☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to the Claimant does not meet the non-financial requirements of the Family Independence Program (FIP).
3.	On June 14, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ denial of the application. $oxed{\square}$ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.
SE ad 40	The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The RR program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by final ministrative rules filed with the Secretary of State on October 28, 1993. MAC R 0.7001-400.7049. Family Independence Agency (FIA or agency) policies are found the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Claimant is not the caretaker of a minor child and does not claim to be disabled. Therefore, the Department's denial of his application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits was a proper application of Department policy.

A request for hearing must be in writing and signed by the claimant, petitioner, or authorized representative. Rule 400.904(1). Moreover, the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (month, year), p. 4, provides in relevant part as follows:

The client or authorized hearing representative has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. The request must be received anywhere in DHS within the 90 days. [Emphasis added.]

The Claimant's failed to establish that he submitted a timely request for a hearing to protest an eligibility determination for the State Emergency Relief (SER) program. Therefore, the Claimant's hearing request is dismissed with respect to this program because this Administrative Law Judge lacks jurisdiction to hear or decide upon this grievance.

The Claimant testified that he no longer was requesting a hearing concerning the Food Assistance Program (FAP) and his hearing request is dismissed with respect to this program.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \text{did act properly.} \int \text{did not act properly.}		
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
/s/		
Kevin Scully		
Administrative Law Judge		
for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		

Date Signed: <u>07/19/2013</u>

Date Mailed: 07/22/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/sw

CC:

